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PREFACE

Over the course of the last 35 years the AEG Cities of The World Committee, under the leadership of Dr. Allen Hatheaway, has sponsored peer-reviewed technical papers following a uniform format of discussion focusing on the environmental and geologic circumstances that brought people to settle in the 24 cities making up the series to date. In addition to the natural resources that brought original inhabitants to settle these regions, the series continues to bring forth the bevy of geologic conditions that have essentially controlled the development and expansion of each city. As we continue to move forward in the twenty-first century, during a time of environmental vigilance, geologists and engineers will meet and adapt to these same geologic conditions, in every instance to overcome the challenges of keeping each city capable of sustaining the presence of its always-expanding human population.

There is a ritual associated with the Editorship of the Cities Series, beyond seeking and processing each submitted manuscript. The editorship offers this Preface as a spot-light on how the generally unique geologic regime of Pittsburgh and its surrounding area serves as a distinctly different blend of the typical geologic features linking Earth’s history to today’s populated environments.

It is very apparent that the geologic conditions surrounding Pittsburgh have been the dominating influence that led to its founding, formed the basis of its industrial heritage, and continues to sculpt its modern landscape.

Pittsburgh lies in a geographic region known as the Appalachian Plateau, which has a long history of sedimentation, followed by multiple cycles of tectonic construction, and punctuated by various later sequences of erosion and deposition associated with Pleistocene glaciation. This unique series of geologic events bestowed Western Pennsylvania a river-and-ridge dominance and endowed it with plentiful natural resources.

French, British, and early American settlers quickly recognized the tract of land occupying the confluence of the Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers as a site of strategic importance for providing easy transportation and trade routes into the heartland of the continent. Pittsburgh came to be known as the Gateway to the West. Its economic advantage stems from its position on the northwestern edge of the rich Appalachian bituminous coal beds, and station at the eastern headwaters of the extensive Mississippi River system via the Ohio River Valley. Thus, humans came to regard Pittsburgh as the best place to congregate west of Philadelphia and to set up shop for the rising horde of emigrants and other adventurers setting out for the free-land bounties of the American West.

Harnessing the landscape to take advantage of these bountiful natural resources and passages west would become another matter, as western Pennsylvania would come to be the civil engineer’s proving ground. Every move made to set up commerce and industry was highly influenced by the space-and-place confined geologic constraints that derived directly from the river-and-ridge controls of more basic tectonic geologic structure. The really great temporal modifications to the overwhelming magnitude of valleys, ridges and rivers, have been brought about by the necessary engineered works that have been sought by the commercial and industrial activities within the valleys, and the necessities of arching transportation (canal, railroad, navigation improvement and flood control) that have become necessary to allow the population to earn their livings. The success or failure of every design for engineered works in and around the Pittsburgh area established, and has continued to prove the overwhelming significance of incorporating the “specification” of geologic conditions into engineered designs, the work product of the Engineering Geologist.

Joseph T. Krupansky, Series Editor
Gannett Fleming Inc., Valley Forge, PA
jkrupansky@gfnet.com
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ABSTRACT

The City of Pittsburgh is located west of the Appalachian Mountains in a moderately to deeply dissected portion of the Appalachian Plateau Province. The relatively flat surface of the plateau is dissected by local drainage from the three principal rivers of the region, the Allegheny, Monongahela, and the Ohio. The formation of Pittsburgh’s three rivers has a long history dating back to before the Pleistocene Period, linked closely to the retreat of continental glaciation, and subsequent meltwaters filling the river channels and eroding the landscape. Pittsburgh was not glaciated; however, periglacial activity and sand-gravel outwash, represent two major results of glaciation that terminated just north of Pittsburgh.

Western Pennsylvania is associated with the westernmost formation of the Appalachian Mountain chain. The Allegheny Orogeny had the most effect on Southwest Pennsylvania. The
uplift created a series of nearly flat-lying, gently warped Paleozoic sedimentary rocks under the region. Rocks outcropping in the Appalachian Plateau vary in age from Devonian to Permian. Surficial bedrock of Southwest Pennsylvania is associated with deltaic depositional environments with a cyclical nature, from fluctuating sea levels. Pennsylvanian strata of the region are dominated by thin cyclic sequences of sandstone, shale, claystone, coal, and limestone.

Pittsburgh’s strategic location helped shape the westward expansion during the early formation of the Nation, largely because of the rivers, which served as an inexpensive, yet efficient means of transportation. The region was considered a stronghold for the emerging country because of its tactical location and later due to its abundance of natural resources. Some of the natural resources include coal, natural gas, oil, salt, limestone, sand and gravel and water.

Geologic hazards present in Pittsburgh and its surroundings include, mine subsidence, acid mine drainage, expansive shales and slags, pyritic acid rock and slope instability. Slope instability results from low shear strength colluvial deposits and the local Pittsburgh Redbeds, a notorious claystone responsible for numerous landslides. Because of the region’s steep topography, abundant rainfall, low shear strength rocks, and soils with low residual strength, landslides have resulted in major property damage and loss of life.

Infrastructure is significant in Pittsburgh. The City began and grew because of the natural river systems, supplemented by manmade canals. Today, the region has 23 navigation locks and dams. The early system of canals was later replaced by rail systems for the shipment of bulk commodities. Allegheny County, the county encompassing Pittsburgh, has more bridges than any other county in the Nation. In addition to bridges, the City has eleven tunnels that facilitate vehicular transportation and two locally famous inclines, which were originally used to transport workers up and down the steep topographic feature known locally as Mt. Washington. However, the existing infrastructure of roads, bridges, tunnels, railways and navigation locks and dams are aging.

Today, Pittsburgh has transcended the legacy name, “Steel City.” Boasting a vibrant downtown, the City has nationally-recognized universities and medical centers. There is a resurgence in shale natural gas exploration using hydrofracturing methods. Coal continues to be a dominant energy source for the numerous coal-fired power plants in the region. Many environmental remediation projects are underway in the region, related to acid mine drainage from legacy coal mining, reclaiming land areas of former steel mills, and past production sites of nuclear materials. Maintaining and replacing Pittsburgh’s aging infrastructure of roads, bridges, tunnels, dams and river navigation structures will be a major challenge and generate work for many years into the future.

**INTRODUCTION**

**Geographic Setting**

Although Pittsburgh has a long history as a major industrial center, it occupies a relatively small area, 56 sq. miles, and has a population (US Census Bureau, 2010) of approximately 305,000. Pittsburgh is located within Allegheny County, which is one of the 67 counties in Pennsylvania. The Greater Pittsburgh Region is normally considered to include Allegheny County and the adjacent Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Fayette, Washington and Westmoreland Counties. These counties comprise 5,343 square miles and have a population of more than 2.3 million people (US Census Bureau, 2010).

Pittsburgh is located to the west of the Appalachian Mountains in a moderately dissected portion of the Appalachian Plateau (Figure 1). Here the relatively flat plateau surface is deeply dissected by the drainage, which has produced steep-sided valleys having a relief ranging up to 600 feet. The upland areas generally lie at an elevation greater than 1,200 feet above mean sea level and constitute only about ten to twenty percent of the surface area of the region. Valley slopes account for about fifty to seventy percent of the area, while the bottomlands constitute twenty percent or less (Gardner, 1980).
Pittsburgh is located at the confluence of the three largest rivers in the region, the Allegheny, Monongahela, and the Ohio (Figure 1). The Allegheny River flows from the north, originating in northern Pennsylvania and southern New York. The Monongahela River flows from the south, originating in east central West Virginia. The Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers meet in Pittsburgh, and form the westward flowing Ohio. The Ohio River is a major artery of drainage into the interior of the continent, joining the Mississippi River about 930 miles downstream from Pittsburgh at Cairo, Illinois (Gardner, 1980).

Climate

The Pittsburgh area has four distinct seasons. Fall and spring are generally warm and mild, summers are hot and humid with occasional heat waves and winters are cold and snowy. Based upon 30-year averages (NOAA, 2014), the mean monthly temperatures are warmest in July (72.6 °F) and coldest in January (28.4 °F). Pittsburgh averages 9.5 days per year when the temperature reaches 90 °F or higher and 5 days per year when the temperature drops below 0 °F. The highest temperature recorded in Pittsburgh, 103 °F, has occurred on three occasions (July 1881, August 1918 and July 1988), while the lowest recorded temperature, -22 °F, has occurred once in January 1994.

Average precipitation is 38.2 inches and is relatively evenly distributed through the year, with the driest month (October) averaging 2.29 inches of precipitation, and the wettest month (June) averaging 4.3 inches of precipitation. Records indicate that the largest one-day snowfall, 23.6 inches, fell on March 13, 1993, and that the largest one-day rainfall event, 5.95 inches, fell on September 17, 2004 (Hurricane Ivan). The second largest rainfall event, 3.6 inches, fell on September 8, 2004 (Hurricane Frances), only one week before the Hurricane Ivan rainfall.

History and Founding

The first inhabitants of the Pittsburgh region were probably Paleo-Indians who may have occupied the area about 16,000 years ago, as indicated by archaeological findings at Meadowcroft Rock Shelter located on a small tributary to the Ohio River about 25 miles (40 km) southwest of Pittsburgh. The Paleo-Indians were hunter-gatherers who exploited the abundant animal and plant resources of the region (Gardner, 1980).

The Paleo-Indian culture was followed by the Archaic hunter-gatherer culture, probably between 7,000 and 8,000 years ago, and the Archaic culture was supplanted by the Woodland culture about 3,000 years ago when agriculture was first introduced in the area. Two mound-building societies developed along the rivers and streams of this region during the Woodland cultural period. The first were the Adena mound-builders, who occupied the region from about 3,000 to 2,000 years ago before they were displaced by the more advanced Hopewell culture that lasted from about 2,000 years ago to 500 A.D. (Gardner, 1980).

It was the strategic location at the confluence of the rivers that first attracted the attention of the European colonists to the “Forks of the Ohio” at what is now Pittsburgh. The conflicts between the British and French in Europe in the early and mid-1700s were transported to North America as both nations struggled for domination of the continent. The French claimed the area west of the Allegheny Mountains as theirs, including the combined Ohio and Allegheny Rivers; the English did not recognize these claims. A group of English colonials from Virginia formed an organization called the Ohio Land Company, whose members included Governor Dinwiddie of Virginia and Lawrence Washington, George Washington’s older brother. The Ohio Land Company claimed over half a million acres of the area around the Forks for trade and land speculation, land that the French had previously claimed as theirs. Ensuing clashes between the French and English trading in the area prompted Governor Dinwiddie to send a 21-year old major of the Virginia Militia, George Washington, to deliver a protest to the French (Gardner, 1980).

Enroute, Major Washington travelled by the Forks and noted:

... I spent some time viewing the rivers, and the land in the Fork, which I think extremely well situated for a fort, as it has absolute command of both rivers... the Land at the point is 20 to 25 feet above the common surface of the water; and a considerable bottom of flat, well-timbered land all around it, very convenient for building...

(from Washington’s Chronicle, in Lorant, 1975)

The confrontations with the French prompted the Virginians to build a fort at the Forks as suggested by Washington. Construction of Fort Prince George was initiated in March 1754, and was the first recorded Euro-American construction on the land that is now Pittsburgh. The unfinished colonial fort was abandoned one month later when a superior force of

Figure 2. Pittsburgh’s Golden Triangle – 1776
(BrooklineConnection.com).
French and Indians threatened attack. The French then erected their own fort, Fort Duquesne, at the Forks. The French controlled the Forks for four years, repelling several English attempts to regain control. In November of 1758, the French burned and abandoned Fort Duquesne in the face of imminent attack by British forces headed by General John Forbes and Colonel George Washington. The English erected their own fort on the ruins of Fort Duquesne, and Forbes named it Fort Pitt in honor of the then-current English Prime Minister, William Pitt. Fort Pitt received no attacks from the French, although it was besieged by Indians for two months during “Pontiac’s Conspiracy” in 1763. The end of the Indian uprising reduced the need for Fort Pitt, and it was gradually dismantled in the mid-1760s (Gardner, 1980). Figure 2 shows Fort Pitt in 1776. A portion of Fort Pitt has been reconstructed in its original location at what is now Point State Park.

The community that developed around the Fort continued to grow as a center of trade for the ever increasing travel from east to west, as Pittsburgh developed as a gateway to the west. Figure 3 shows the locations of Fort Duquesne, Fort Pitt and Pittsburgh in 1795. When the community was incorporated as a city in 1816, it was the major center for commerce in the west, since most travel from the eastern seaboard to the west went through Pittsburgh. Henry Steele Commager, a noted historian, summarized the situation as follows:

... The historical significance of Pittsburgh was determined from the beginning, by geography ... The city that was to rise at this strategic point on the threshold of the Forks was at once the bridge from the East and the Gateway to the West, the most western of the great cities of the seaboard, the most eastern of the great cities of the valley: it is no accident that it has commanded that position now for a century and a half; its sovereignty unchallenged ...

(Lorant, 1975)

Pittsburgh’s economy was primarily based on commerce in the late 1700s and early 1800s, thereby living up to its “Gateway” status. As Pittsburgh grew, it required an ever increasing supply of goods, most of which were manufactured in the east. However, transporting large quantities of trade goods and pioneer supplies was incredibly difficult and expensive because the rugged Appalachian Mountain ridges between Pittsburgh and lands to the east were a formidable barrier. For this reason, Pittsburgh was forced to develop its own manufacturing industry, and by 1815, it was producing significant quantities of iron, brass, tin and glass products. By 1830, the trade/commerce aspect of Pittsburgh’s economy was eclipsed by manufacturing. Thus, Pittsburgh was founded and began to flourish as a center of commerce and manufacturing because of its geography. But Pittsburgh was only born of its geography; it owes most of its growth and eventual status as a leading industrial center to its geology (Gardner, 1980). In 1901, U.S. Steel Corporation was formed in Pittsburgh, and by 1911, the city was the nation’s eighth largest, producing between a third and a half of the nation’s steel.

The most important factors affecting the growth of Pittsburgh were the mineral resources of the region, including
coal, oil, natural gas, some iron ore, and the availability of attendant requirements such as water, building materials, power, transportation capabilities, and marketability. However, the single most important resource to affect Pittsburgh’s growth and industrial stature was coal (Gardner, 1980).

There are two significant coals, the Pittsburgh and Upper Freeport seams that are mined in the Pittsburgh Region (Figure 11). They are two of at least thirteen coal seams that have been strip mined and/or deep mined at one place or another in the region.

The Pittsburgh Coal is considered to be one of the richest economic deposits in the world. The U.S. Geological Survey estimated that the Pittsburgh Coal alone yielded eight billion tons from the early 1900s to 1965, comprising thirty-five percent of all bituminous coal in the Appalachian Basin and twenty-one percent of the cumulative production for the entire United States. The Pittsburgh Coal is essentially “worked-out” and no longer deep-mined in Pittsburgh (Gardner, 1980) but it is still mined in the southwest corner of the state where the seam is much deeper.

The Upper Freeport Coal lies about 660 feet below the Pittsburgh Coal and has been deep-mined in a north-south belt east of the city and just north of the city. However, it is relatively thin and is not deep-mined under the city.

The first record of coal mining in Pittsburgh was made by Captain Thomas Hutchins in 1759 when he noted a coal mine on the hillside across the Monongahela River from Pittsburgh. The mine was developed in the coal outcrop by the British soldiers on “Coal Hill,” which is now called Mt. Washington. Coal was mined on a small scale until industrialization created a greater fuel demand by the mid-1800s.

The principal user of coal in the Pittsburgh region was the iron and steel industry. The iron industry began almost at the birth of the community. The first iron furnace reported in Pittsburgh was built on Two Mile Run (Shadyside) in 1793, and closed after only one year of operation for lack of local timber for fuel and iron ore. Although Pittsburgh’s first iron furnace was unsuccessful, numerous furnaces operating in outlying areas closer to the local ore deposits did succeed. Because Pittsburgh was the center of commerce, trade, labor and marketing, the industry took advantage of these resources, and local iron forging became a lucrative business (Gardner, 1980).

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Physiography

The physiographic provinces of Pennsylvania are sub-divided into regions that generally have a similar geologic structure, geomorphic history and climate. Pennsylvania is divided into six physiographic provinces according to the Pennsylvania Geologic Survey. Additionally, these six provinces are made up of smaller sections, which themselves have unique characteristics. Figure 4 presents the Pennsylvania Physiographic Province Map provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (PA DCNR), (Sevon, 2000).

The Pittsburgh region is part of the upland area of the Appalachian Plateau Province. This upland area is a relatively flat surface with deeply dissected drainage that has produced steep-sided valleys with vertical relief on the order of 600 feet along the major drainages. The terrain is a dissected mature landscape developed on gently folded to essentially flat-lying sedimentary strata. In southwest Pennsylvania the structural geologic trends are northeast to southwest. The province is bounded to the southeast by the Valley and Ridge Province and to the northwest by the Central Lowlands Province.

The Appalachian Plateau Province in southwest Pennsylvania is divided into the Pittsburgh Low Plateau Section, Waynesburg Hills Section and the Allegheny Mountain Section. The City of Pittsburgh is located in two of the sections, with the Pittsburgh Low Plateau Section to the north and the Waynesburg Hills Section to the south, as shown in Figure 4.

The Pittsburgh Low Plateau Section has a smooth to undulating surface composed of narrow and relatively shallow valleys having a dendritic drainage pattern. It has low to moderate relief with the underlying rock composed mostly of shale, siltstone, and sandstone. The geologic structure consists of moderate to low amplitude folds that decrease in occurrence in a northwestward direction.

The Waynesburg Hills Section is comprised of relatively hilly terrain with narrow hilltops and steep-sloped narrow valleys with a dendritic drainage pattern. It has moderate relief with underlying rock types of shale, sandstone, limestone, red shale and claystone. The geologic structure ranges from low amplitude folds to horizontal bedding.

A small portion of the northwest section of the Appalachian Plateau was glaciated during the Pleistocene Epoch, with the closest approach of Wisconsian ice about 30 miles north of the city. This was the last ice advance in the area.

Tectonic Setting

The tectonic history of western Pennsylvania is associated with the westernmost formation of the Appalachian Mountain chain. Four different tectonic episodes produced the Appalachian Mountain chain. A geologic time scale of the major geologic events is shown in Figure 5.

The three earliest tectonic events are the Grenville Orogeny, Taconic Orogeny, and the Acadian Orogeny. These events had little effect on Pittsburgh and the southwest Pennsylvania area.

The fourth and final mountain building event, the Allegheny Orogeny, did affect southwest Pennsylvania. This event began approximately 300 million years ago during the Pennsylvanian Period, and extended into the Permian Period (Hatcher, 2004). It resulted from the collision between the North American and African plates. Southwest Pennsylvania received much less deformation due to its distance from...
the collision area. The major effects were gentle folding of the in place rocks, creating minor anticlines and synclines triggered by deeper thrust-faulting (Schultz et al., 2013).

Southwest Pennsylvania has experienced multiple cycles of tectonic construction followed by erosion and deposition. Sedimentation in the northern Appalachians is considered complex with both basin-wide and local factors controlling deposition. The depositional area of the Allegheny Plateau in western Pennsylvania is part of a major structural basin referred to as the Appalachian Coal Basin, or Allegheny Synclinorium. The northern portion is often referred to as the Pittsburgh-Huntington Basin or the Dunkard Basin, depending on the location. A section through the Allegheny Synclinorium is presented in Figure 6.

During the Appalachian tectonic events, eroded sediment was transported generally westward from the ancestral Appalachians. Figure 7 illustrates the paleogeography of the basin and source area. An evaluation of sediment deposition into this basin identifies multiple sequence events. This sequencing was in conjunction with sea level conditions in southwest Pennsylvania. See Figure 5 for a time-scale of the major activities affecting the Pennsylvania region and subsequent rock deposits associated with the activity. A generalized depositional history of the rocks in southwest Pennsylvania, starting at the base of the stratigraphic column and progressing upward to the surficial rocks of the Pennsylvanian/Permian Period, is as follows (Slingerland and Beaumont, 1989):

- **Lower Cambrian** (also Catoctin Greenstone) – clastic wedge sequence consisting primarily of sandstones with faulting during late Grenville Orogeny
- **Cambrian-Ordovician** – a carbonate sequence comprised mostly of limestone and dolomite with some quartzose sandstone
- **Upper Ordovician** – clastic sequence of coarse shales, siltstones, sandstones and quartz pebble conglomerates associated with the Taconic Orogeny
- **Silurian** – thin clastic seams with generally sandy limestones and dolomites
- **Silurian-Devonian** – a carbonate sequence of limestone and dolomite
- **Devonian** – clastic wedge sequence of mostly red shale and sandstone, with a few mudstones – all associated with the Acadian Orogeny

**Figure 4. Physiographic Province Map** (Sevon, 2000).
The surficial bedrock of southwest Pennsylvania shows characteristics associated with deltaic depositional environments with a cyclical nature indicating a fluctuating sea level. Figure 8 illustrates a generalized lithologic column for southwest Pennsylvania along with the types of depositional environments associated with some of the rock units.

**Geologic Setting**

Rock strata outcropping in the Appalachian Plateau vary in age from Devonian to Permian as shown in Figure 9, the Geologic Map of Pennsylvania. Devonian age rocks outcrop north of Pittsburgh. Mississippian age strata also outcrop north of Pittsburgh, as well as on the ridges east of Pittsburgh. Rocks of Pennsylvanian age form the surface strata within the Pittsburgh area. Permian age rock outcrops southwest of Pittsburgh.

The structural trend of the Appalachian Plateau ranges from North 30° East to North 70° East (Amdt et al., 1969). The lengths of the anticlines and synclines vary significantly, as shown in Figure 10. The dip associated with these folded structures is generally no more than a few degrees. Pennsylvanian strata are characterized by thin cyclic sequences of sandstone, shale, claystone, coal, and limestone (Philbrick, 1953, 1959, 1960). The most readily identifiable and consistent rock strata are the coal beds and some limestone beds.

Faulting is not common, but some minor localized vertical displacements are present.

### Stratigraphy

#### Sedimentary Rock

The surface and near-surface rock in the greater Pittsburgh area belong to the Permian and Pennsylvanian age Dunkard Group and the Pennsylvanian age Monongahela, Conemaugh and Allegheny Groups. A generalized stratigraphic column of the Pittsburgh region is presented in Figure 11. Locations of counties are identified on the Geologic Map of Pennsylvania in Figure 9. A generalized summary of these rock types follows:

**Dunkard Group / Permian and Pennsylvania**

This group occurs near the surface in central and southern Washington County, which is southwest of the City of Pittsburgh (See Figure 9). The Dunkard reaches a maximum thickness of about 1120 feet (Berryhill et al., 1971) in Greene County and the upper surface is the modern day erosional surface. It is generally composed of fine-grained clastics which are frequently calcareous. The lower boundary of the Dunkard Group is defined as the base of the
Waynesburg Coal, which is the only coal routinely mined in the Dunkard. It is made up of the Waynesburg, Washington and Greene Formations (Berryhill et al., 1971). The basal Waynesburg Formation consists of shale, sandstone, siltstone, and coal. The overlying Washington Formation outcrops in valley bottoms in the northwest corner of Greene County and consists of limestone, claystone, siltstone, sandstone, carbonaceous shale, and coal. Thick lacustrine limestones are especially prevalent in the Washington Formation. The uppermost Greene Formation, which covers the western half of Greene County and caps the tops of ridges in the eastern part of the county, consists mostly of shale, sandstone, siltstone, and limestone.

**Monongahela Group / Pennsylvanian**

The Monongahela Group underlies the Waynesburg Group, extending from the base of the Waynesburg Coal to the base of the Pittsburgh Coal, as shown in Figure 11. The Group includes the Uniontown and Pittsburgh Formations. It is a non-marine sedimentary sequence. Coal seams, including the Uniontown, Sewickley, Redstone and the Pittsburgh Coals, are persistent and are the primary marker beds in the area. This group ranges in thickness between 275 and 290 feet (Berryhill et al., 1971). It consists of cyclic sedimentary sequences formed in a relatively low energy, marginal upper delta plain having extensive lake and swamp development (Berryhill et al., 1971; Donaldson, 1974). The depositional environments of the coals are identified as tropical swamps in anaerobic conditions.

The Uniontown Formation contains both an upper and lower member separated by the Little Waynesburg Coal. The Upper Member is shale or very thinly bedded sandstone. The Lower Member is mostly sandstone with interbedded coal lenses near its base.

The Pittsburgh Formation contains several coal seams, including the laterally extensive Pittsburgh Coal, which is the basal member of the Pittsburgh Formation. The Pittsburgh Formation is divided into five members: the lower member; Redstone; Fishpot; Sewickley; and the upper member. This formation consists of numerous relatively persistent limestone seams and lesser claystone beds in the upper portion with the lower portion predominately shale, sandstone and coal seams.

The lower member includes the approximately 10-foot thick, persistent Pittsburgh Coal, overlain by the only clastic rock within the Pittsburgh Formation, the Pittsburgh Sandstone. The Pittsburgh Sandstone is a persistent fluvial unit that is generally thinly bedded to massive. A major fluvial channel system, flowing north to northwest through what is now Greene and Washington Counties, deposited this unit as an elongated sandstone body up to 80 feet thick and several miles wide (Edmunds et al., 1999).

The Redstone Member is stratigraphically above the lower member and is characterized by siltstone and claystone and includes a persistent limestone unit. The division between the lower member and the Redstone Member is
typically marked by the Redstone Coal; however, the coal is laterally discontinuous.

The Fishpot Member, the next stratigraphic unit within the Pittsburgh Formation, is the thinnest unit. The Fishpot includes mainly siltstone and claystone with several thin sandstone bodies. This formation can be difficult to identify where the Fishpot Coal is absent because it marks the base of the Fishpot.

The Sewickley Member represents the thickest limestone sequence, the Benwood Limestone. The Benwood Limestone is a relatively thick interbedded limestone and shale unit that is dolomitic in portions of the region.

The thick upper member of the Pittsburgh Formation contains four limestone units designated in ascending order as “A”, “B”, “C”, and “D”. These rather persistent limestone seams are interbedded with siltstone and shale seams that are generally in proportion with the thickness of the limestone found above these fine-grained seams. Limestones of the Monongahela Group are freshwater limestones, deposited during highstands in the lakes of alluvial plains.

Conemaugh Group / Pennsylvanian

The Conemaugh Group underlies the Monongahela Group in southwest Pennsylvania. This sedimentary group includes the Glenshaw and Casselman Formations, and is a clastic sequence dominated by siltstone, claystone, shale and sandstone. The average thickness of this group is approximately 620 feet (Schultz, 1999), and extends from the base of the Pittsburgh Coal to the top of the Upper Freeport Coal. Bedrock exposure of the Conemaugh Group is limited in southwest Pennsylvania, with most exposures at and north of Pittsburgh.

The Conemaugh stratigraphy is subdivided into two distinct formations (Flint, 1965) based upon marine units, with the boundary between them being the top of the persistent Ames limestone. The upper unit, the Casselman Formation, is essentially devoid of marine units, while the lower unit, the Glenshaw Formation, contains widespread marine units (Schultz, 1999). Mineable coals are not common in the Conemaugh.

The Casselman Formation extends from the base of the Pittsburgh coal to the base of the Ames Limestone and con-
sists of a sequence of alternating layers of sandstone, shale, red beds (claystone), limestone and thin discontinuous coal seams. The Ames Limestone is a laterally continuous fossiliferous limestone that is generally on the order of two to four feet thick. It serves as the primary marker bed in the Conemaugh Group, and identifies the youngest marine transgression in southwest Pennsylvania.

The Birmingham Shale is a significant unit within the Casselman Formation. It is generally described as a dark, thinly laminated rock nearly 50 feet thick that occurs below the Morgantown sandstone, and about 30 to 60 feet above the Ames Limestone in Pittsburgh. It consists mainly of fine-grained siltstone and shale overbank deposits. Marine fossils have been found in the shale outcrops at Birmingham Station (just west of Pittsburgh). This transition zone contains marine to brackish fauna and represents the last marine episode of the Paleozoic in Pennsylvania.

The Glenshaw Formation extends from the base of the Ames Limestone to the top of the Upper Freeport Coal. The claystones and shales are the weaker units. These weaker members are notorious for landslide potential. All of these rock units are commonly interbedded and tend to change lithologically over short lateral distances.

A primary source in southwest Pennsylvania for landslides is the Pittsburgh Red Beds, which is near the top of the Glenshaw Formation. It is a 40 to 60 foot series of mostly reddish, greenish, and grayish claystone and shale, with minor amounts of sandstone and siltstone that tend to weather deeply on hillsides throughout southwestern Pennsylvania. Claystone is a low permeability, low strength rock with weakly connected pore space. Repeated weathering cycles and excessive pore pressure have a tendency to reduce the internal shear strength of this particular rock, which can lead to failure. In addition, Conemaugh claystones contain minerals that tend to expand in the presence of water (Pomeroy, 1982).

These red shales have been interpreted as a paleosol horizon (ancient soil zone) on the Pennsylvanian delta by Donahue and Rollins (1974). They suggest that the red color and the claystone texture are similar to that of a laterite soil weathering profile. Good exposures of the series can display evidence of an ancient soil development with some occasional root casts and calcite-rich nodules.

**Allegheny Group / Pennsylvanian**

The Allegheny Group underlies the Conemaugh with a thickness between 270 and 330 feet in western Pennsylvania (Edmunds et al., 1999) beginning at the top of the Upper Freeport Coal and extending to the base of the Brookville Coal. This group consists largely of marine units and contains six mineable coals, referred to as the Upper Freeport Coal, Lower Freeport Coal, Upper Kittanning Coal, Middle Kittanning Coal, Lower Kittanning Coal and the Brookville Coal. These coals outcrop north of the Pittsburgh area. Coals and associated strata of the lower Allegheny Group (Brookville through

**Figure 10. Generalized locations of Structural Axes in Allegheny County** (Wagner et al., 1970).

**Figure 11. A Generalized Stratigraphic Column of the Pittsburgh Region** (Harper, 1990).
Middle Kittanning coals) were deposited during a general eastward marine transgression. The setting was a shifting complex of marine to brackish embayments, lower-delta-plain distributaries, and interdistributary to coastal margin swamps, grading inland to an upper-delta-plain fluvial and interfluvial swamp system (Williams, 1960; Williams and Ferm, 1965; Ferm and Cavaroc, 1969; Ferm, 1970, 1974). The upper Allegheny Group (Upper Kittanning through Upper Freeport coals) was deposited in a relatively high energy, upper-delta-plain fluvial and interfluvial lake and swamp environment during a period of general marine regression (Sholes et al., 1979; Skema et al., 1982).

The Allegheny Group contains a repeating succession of coal, limestone, and clastics, ranging from claystone to coarse sandstone. Most beds exhibit lithologic change both vertically and laterally over short distances, but some coals, a few marine shales and limestones are continuous over large areas.

Pottsville Group / Pennsylvanian

The Pennsylvanian age Pottsville Formation is a major ridge-former in the Ridge-and-Valley Province of the eastern United States and along the Allegheny Front, the east-facing escarpment of the Appalachian Plateau. The Formation ranges in thickness from 100 feet to 1,600 feet (Edmunds, 1999). The Pottsville Formation consists predominately of a well-cemented cobble and pebble conglomerate with some sandstones and finer clastics and coal (Edmunds, 1999) that range in thickness from about 10 to 70 feet. It extends upward from the top of the Mississippian Mauch Chunk Formation to the base of the underclay beneath the Brookville coal of the Allegheny Formation. Abrupt variations in the thickness of the Pottsville of up to 100 feet have been observed in short distances, with this variability mainly occurring in the basal part of the formation (McElroy, 2000). The formation has minor marine limestones in northern Pennsylvania. Mining of coal in the Pottsville is limited. Because it contains resistant rock units, it tends to form ridges and cap most of the high-points, including Mount Davis (3,213 feet), the highest point in Pennsylvania, which is located in Somerset County.

Igneous and Metamorphic Rocks

Precambrian basement rock (See Figure 12) underlies all of Pennsylvania, but is only exposed in the southeastern part of the state. A thick Paleozoic sequence overlies the basement for all of southwest Pennsylvania. The depth of the basement rocks directly under the Pittsburgh region is inferred from deep wells located in northwestern Pennsylvania, eastern Ohio and northwestern West Virginia.

The basement in the Pittsburgh region is at a depth ranging from 14,700 to 16,400 feet according to the Geology of Pennsylvania (Saylor, 1999), and is believed to have lithologies similar to the Canadian Grenville belt. The most common lithologies identified are granite, gneiss, biotite granite and biotite schist (Saylor, 1999), and all of these lithologies have been metamorphosed to the greenschist or amphibole facies (Bass 1959, 1960; Saylor, 1968).

Some indirect evidence has been found that deformation of the basement exists; however, little physical information is available. Investigation and research into the basement is prohibited by the depth to this horizon, and most remote sensing data remains confidential for the increasing gas exploration from the recent Middle Devonian shale gas boom. There are no surface metamorphic rocks in western Pennsylvania.

The only near surface igneous rock in western Pennsylvania are two Jurassic kimberlite dikes. The first is the Gates-Adah Dikes, which outcrop near the Monongahela River on the border of Fayette and Greene Counties (south of Pittsburgh), shown in Figure 9. The Gates-Adah kimberlite intruded approximately 170 million years ago (Bikerman et al., 1997), appears to have formed at a relatively shallow depth and contains mostly pyrope garnets and Andradite-effect pyropes.

The other kimberlite intrusion is the Dixonville-Tanoma Dikes in central Indiana County (northeast of Pittsburgh) as
shown in Figure 9. These dikes are not exposed on the surface, but were discovered in the Tanoma Coal Mine while mining the Upper Freeport Coal. One of the dikes is about 15 inches wide and extends thousands of feet laterally. The coal mine has closed and the dike is no longer accessible.

**Surficial Geologic and Soil Features**

Existing and past climatic conditions have resulted in substantial mechanical and chemical weathering, which produced a residual or colluvial soil mantle over the rocks of the Pittsburgh region. The sedimentary rock strata are normally not exposed, other than in valley walls and excavations into rock. There is considerable evidence that rocks of this region remain highly stressed, and subsequent stress relief due to valley cutting aids in the physical breakup of rock and enhances its susceptibility to chemical weathering (Ferguson, 1967, 1974; Voight, 1974). The most important discontinuities within the surficial rock are joints. Both tectonic and stress-relief jointing are recognized. Both systematic and non-systematic jointing occurs, with the majority of non-systematic joints in the weaker, fine-grained rock.

Joints caused by the local release of residual stress are closely spaced (up to 10 feet) whereas joints caused by tectonic stresses exhibit a spacing of many feet (Nickelsen and Hough, 1967). The finer-grained rocks have more closely spaced joints. Nickelsen and Hough (1967) present details of joint patterns, trends and spacing in the Appalachian Plateau of Pennsylvania.

Southwestern Pennsylvania is dominated by soil derived from acidic shales and sandstones consisting of clay-sized particles with moderate to substantial amounts of rock fragments. The surficial soils are predominantly silty loams, which are usually well-drained. This region has relatively steep slopes, making erosion a major concern. The available water-holding capacity (i.e. porosity) of many soils in the region is relatively moderate. Residual soils are characteristic of the flat upland surfaces and flat surfaces of larger benches, with colluvial soils forming the slopes. In general, the thickness of residual or colluvial soils in the Pittsburgh region is on the order of 10 to 30 feet. Alluvial soils fill stream and river valleys and reach thicknesses of up to 100 feet.

**Pleistocene Glaciation**

Pittsburgh has never been glaciated. However, periglacial activity and sand and gravel outwash are two major results of glaciation that occurred north of Pittsburgh. Figure 13 shows the limit of glaciation in Western Pennsylvania and the present river systems. Extensive periglacial activity south of the glacial limits, consisting of cold wet weather and frequent freeze-thaw cycles, impacted the Pittsburgh area. This severe climate caused extensive mass wasting through rock breakup and downslope movement of broken material. Peltier (1950) and Denny (1956) found fossil periglacial features close to the front of the maximum advance of Wisconsinian glaciations in Pennsylvania which strongly supports Pleistocene periglacial processes influencing development of slopes.

Radiocarbon dating of wood from several large colluvial slide masses in western Pennsylvania and West Virginia indicate a Pleistocene age, and thus a periglacial origin, for these deposits (Gray et al., 1979).

Wisconsinian glaciation significantly altered the courses of the Allegheny and Ohio Rivers, and glacial outwash filled the valleys with sand and gravel. Erosion subsequently removed approximately 80 feet of the sand and gravel, leaving about 50 feet of alluvium which created a significant aquifer in the river valleys. The alluvium consists of hard, dense sand and gravel which provides excellent foundation conditions for large buildings and heavy structures along with a high quality source of durable sand and gravel.

**Pittsburgh’s Three Rivers**

Prior to the Pleistocene glaciation, which began approximately 800,000 to 1,000,000 years ago, the Monongahela River was the dominant river in southwestern Pennsylvania (Figure 14). It flowed north to the site of Pittsburgh in a channel approximately coincident with its present channel. From Pittsburgh, it followed the channel of the present Ohio River to Beaver, PA where it turned north up the present Beaver River Valley and flowed north into the “Ancestral Erie Basin” (Harper, 1997). This Monongahela River sys-
tem drained about three-fourths of the area in Pennsylvania that is presently drained by the combined Ohio, Monogahela, and Allegheny Rivers and their tributaries (Harper, 2002). The Ohio River was a tributary of the Monongahela. It originated south of Moundsville, WV and flowed north, joining the Monongahela River just south of New Castle, Pennsylvania. The Allegheny River was three separate rivers that drained different parts of Pennsylvania (Figure 14). The “Lower Allegheny” originated in Elk, Forest and Jefferson Counties, and followed the course of the present Clarion River, and then flowed south to join the Monongahela River at what is now Pittsburgh. The “Middle Allegheny” started in Warren County and followed a course through Oil City to Franklin, where it turned northwest along what is now French Creek and flowed across Crawford and Erie Counties into the “Ancestral Erie Basin.” The “Upper Allegheny” began in northern Pennsylvania and southern New York, and flowed from Olean to Dunkirk, New York into the “Ancestral Erie Basin” (Harper, 1997).

During the last Ice Age there were four major advances and retreats of continental ice sheets in North America. At least two of these ice sheets, the Illinoian and Wisconsinian, extended into western Pennsylvania and disrupted drainage patterns, forming the present streams (See Figure 13). None of the continental glaciers reached Pittsburgh. The advancing ice sheets blocked the northwest-flowing streams, creating lakes within the existing drainage areas. As the ponded waters rose, they eventually crested and eroded notches in their drainage divides. The escaping waters formed new drainage channels that flowed southwestward, closely paralleling the front of the glaciers. The three Allegheny Rivers coalesced to form one, and the Ohio became the major stream of western Pennsylvania, flowing south and then west along the boundary of the ice to the Mississippi River (Harper, 2002). The Allegheny and Ohio Rivers subsequently served as the major channels for the flow of glacial meltwaters.

The relatively flat hilltops in the Pittsburgh areas are 500 to 600 feet above river levels. In Tertiary time, downcutting of streams produced a system of broad valleys 350 to 400 feet below the hilltops and 200 feet above present river levels. This pre-glacial erosional stage produced valley levels known as the Parker Strath (a Scottish word meaning a wide flat valley) (Heyman, 1970).

During the Illinoian stage of glaciation the ancestral Allegheny River was choked with glacial outwash, resulting in the ponding of tributary streams. To the south, the Monongahela and Youghiogheny River basins alluvium is known as the Carmichaels Formation. Figure 15 presents idealized valley cross sections showing erosion levels and valley fill deposits in Allegheny County. Following Illinoian glaciation, active stream erosion cut down 250 feet below the gravel-covered Parker Strath, excavating channels to a depth of 50 feet or more below present stream levels (Figure 15). Figure 16 shows the development of the Allegheny and Monongahela River valleys in the last one million years.

In cutting new channels, the streams locally took completely new courses, leaving behind great channel loops and meander cut-offs which cross and re-cross the present valleys high above present stream level. Today, these wide valleys do not contain major streams.

Abandoned channels and high level terraces in the immediate vicinity of Pittsburgh are shown by Figure 17. A major abandoned channel (one mile wide) leaves the Monongahela

Figure 14. Western Pennsylvania Stream Patterns before Glaciation (Harper, 1997).

Figure 15. Idealized Valley Cross Section Showing Erosion Levels and the Position of Valley Fill Deposits in Allegheny County (Adamson et al., 1949).
Valley between Braddock and Swissvale and extends through Swissvale, Wilkinsburg, East Liberty and the Oakland section of Pittsburgh before rejoining the Monongahela River Valley. Today, this abandoned channel is occupied by the Pennsylvania Railroad main line and several principal east-west roadways, and is the only direct natural overland route toward downtown Pittsburgh from the east (Heyman, 1970). Excavations anywhere in this valley reveal layers of silt and sand, deposited by the “Old Monongahela.” Excavations for the University of Pittsburgh’s Cathedral of Learning (skyscraper) in this valley exposed up to 40 feet of sand, gravel and boulders, along with laminated plastic clay (Leighton, 1947).

As noted previously, the alluvium of the Allegheny and Ohio valleys, in Allegheny County, consists largely of gla-

Figure 16. Development of Allegheny and Monongahela River Valleys in the Past One Million Years. (Harper, 1997)
A. Before the first glaciation about 770,000 years ago, the rivers flowed in shallow valleys amid low-relief plains. B. During the early (Nebraskan?) glaciation (about 770,000 years ago), increased runoff helped carve the river channels deeper while filling the Allegheny Valley with glacially-derived sand and gravel. C. Following the initial glaciation, the rivers began to cut downward and laterally into bedrock as the land began to rise. During successive glaciations, this created a single, very wide valley at present-day Pittsburgh and left remnants of the old river valley floors 200 to 250 feet above the present river level. D. During the late (Wisconsinan) glaciation (about 75,000 to 10,000 years ago), the Allegheny River cut down a little more and filled the entire valley with glacially derived sand and gravel. Since that time, the river banks and downtown Pittsburgh have been covered only with locally-derived, nonglacial river sediment.

Figure 17. Abandoned Channels and High Level Terraces in Immediate Vicinity of Pittsburgh (Heyman, 1970).

Figure 18. Twelve Large Bulk Grain-Size Distributions for Glacial Gravels (Figure courtesy of DiGioia, Gray & Associates).
cial outwash gravel and sand and is the primary source of groundwater in Allegheny County. Pebbles of crystalline rock transported from considerable distances north of the area are found included with pebbles of resistant sandstone of local origin in these valley deposits. The finer material is likewise of both remote and local origin. Most of the commercial gravel deposits in the vicinity of Pittsburgh will pass a 2-inch screen, but boulders are not uncommon. The material is well sorted in some places, but more commonly the grain size varies considerably. Figure 18 presents 12 large bulk grain size distribution curves for the glacial gravels from a deep excavation on the North side of the Ohio River in Pittsburgh. On average, gravel constitutes over 60 percent (by weight) of the glacial outwash.

The average maximum thickness of the valley alluvium is about 60 feet. Normally, glacial sand and gravel constitutes the basal part of the alluvium and is overlain by recent flood plain deposits ranging in thickness from 0 to 25 feet. In parts of the present stream bed, the topmost member of the alluvium is a layer of very fine silt which, to some extent, is transitory and is probably scoured during floods and redeposited as high water stages decline. Characteristic sections across the Allegheny and Ohio Valleys are shown in Figure 19. Laterally, these alluvial deposits extend the width of the pre-Wisconsinian stream valleys, which are wider than the present streams. Generally, the bedrock floor of the valleys is relatively flat, except in a few areas in the upper Ohio River where shallow channels were cut into the bedrock floor before the valley aggraded. In Allegheny County, the thickness of water-bearing sand and gravel remains fairly constant across the valleys; however, the sediments thin rapidly near the valley walls (Adamson et al., 1949). Figure 20 shows contours of the rock surface below Pittsburgh’s downtown area, and the approximate eastern limit of water bearing glacial gravel.

The old valley bedrock floor on the Allegheny River, which declines from elevation 682 feet above sea level at Tarentum to 661.5 feet immediately above the junction of the Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers (Pittsburgh’s Point), averages a gradient of 1 foot per mile. Continuing down the Ohio 13 miles from the Point, the ancient valley floor is found at an elevation of 651 feet, and the average gradient is 0.8 feet per mile over this distance. At no place in the Allegheny and Ohio Valleys in the county has bedrock been recorded at a depth in excess of 85 feet below the average river level.

Within Allegheny County the maximum thickness of the Monongahela Valley alluvium is 65 feet. The pre-Wisconsinian age Monongahela Valley Floor has a gradient of about 0.8 feet per mile from Elizabeth, PA to the Point which is a distance of about 23 miles (Adamson et al., 1949).

**NATURAL RESOURCES**

**Salt, Oil and Natural Gas**

Salt was an early high value mineral and was much sought after on the frontier. It was expensive to haul over the mountains from the east coast and therefore local sources were sought and established. It was originally obtained by evaporating naturally occurring saline brine discharges in springs in the area. The process was simple, settlers would dig holes and the holes would fill with brine which was collected and kettle-evaporated to obtain the crystalline salt residue. Later wells were drilled for salt, which frequently tapped the sandstones in the Pottsville Group which became known as “salt sands.”

Crude oil was occasionally found in conjunction with the brine in the salt wells and was originally considered a nuisance to be discarded. Samuel Kier (ExplorePAhistory.com,
Figure 21. *Kier’s Rock Oil Advertising Poster* (Flaherty and Flaherty, 2014).
Kier Refinery), an American inventor and business man, operated salt wells on his family property located in Tarentum, to the northeast of Pittsburgh. He noticed that the crude oil in the salt wells was similar to what was being prescribed for homeopathic cures for various illnesses, and began collecting and bottling the oil and selling it as a “cure-all.” In 1849, he opened a bottling and merchandising house in Pittsburgh, and his “Kiers Rock Oil” sold throughout the northeastern United States (See Poster, Figure 21). The oil was sold at the pricey rate of 50 cents (a day’s wages) for a half pint bottle and the label read, “Kiers petroleum or rock oil. Celebrated for its wonderful curative powers. A natural remedy. Procured from a well in Allegheny (County), Pa. four hundred feet below the earth’s surface.” (Richardson, 1932). He also began to experiment with the crude oil as an illuminant and sold the “carbon” oil from a warehouse in Pittsburgh. In order to capitalize on his discovery, he built the first commercial petroleum refinery in Pittsburgh in 1854 to produce illuminating oil from the crude oil he obtained from the family salt wells. Kier was forced to move his refinery operation out of the city because of local residents’ fear of fire and explosions.

Once it was determined that the “rock” oil had a use, it was collected from the salt wells and from crude oil seeps. In those areas pits were dug to collect the oil which was removed and containerized for subsequent sale. Commercial oil production began in Pennsylvania with the drilling of the Drake discovery well in 1859 (See Figure 22). The well was drilled near Titusville, Venango County, Pennsylvania, which is located about 100 miles north of Pittsburgh, and was the nation’s first economically-viable well drilled intentionally to produce commercially valuable crude oil (Carter and Flaherty, 2011). Oil exploration slowly moved south, and in 1886, the Mt. Nebo Field was discovered in nearby Ohio Township, Allegheny County. The slow southward movement of oil recovery activity was due primarily to the increasing depths of the oil bearing Venango sandstones. The Drake well was drilled in an area of known oil seeps, and had a final depth of about 69 feet. Oil-bearing Venango sandstones in that area were no more than 100 feet deep. The Venango sandstones in the Pittsburgh area are at depths of between 1,200 feet and 2,800 feet. Such depths required the development of new exploratory and developmental drilling equipment and techniques. Between 1886 and 1904, almost all of the shallow oil fields in Allegheny County had been found and exploited and the local oil industry started to decline. Pittsburgh profited by more than just the oil from the wells because it was the largest industrialized city near the new oil fields. By the 1870s, there were more than fifty oil refineries operating in the area with a total production of more than 35,000 barrels of oil per day (Gardner, 1980).

Figure 22. Replica of Drake Well, Titusville, PA (Flaherty and Flaherty, 2014).
The nation’s first commercial gas well, the Haymaker well in Murrysville, PA, about 20 miles east of Pittsburgh, was drilled in 1878. Gas from that well was piped into Pittsburgh in 1883, which was at the technical limit of such pipelines for that time.

Figure 23 shows the general area/extent of traditional oil and gas, and shale gas reservoirs in Pennsylvania. Limited amounts of gas and oil from these “shallow” Mississippian and Devonian sandstones are still produced in the area from some of the early wells. A number of the depleted gas and oil fields in the area are now utilized as gas storage fields by some of the regional gas companies.

In recent years the industry has seen a rebirth with the development of natural gas from deeper sources. The source currently being developed is the Middle Devonian Marcellus Shale, which is at a depth of around 6,000 feet in the Pittsburgh area. Much news attention is given to the Marcellus Shale, as it is thought to contain about 50 trillion cubic feet of natural gas and is recovered using fracking techniques, which are being widely debated in regard to possible environmental impacts associated with development. Another recently identified natural gas source in the area is the Upper Ordovician Utica Shale, which underlies the Marcellus Shale and has a correspondingly larger lateral extent. The Utica in the Pittsburgh area lies at a depth of about 10,000 feet to 12,000 feet. It is estimated to contain about 38 trillion cubic feet of yet-undiscovered, technically recoverable natural gas (at the mean estimate) according to the first assessment of this continuous (unconventional) natural gas accumulation by the U. S. Geological Survey (Schenk et al., 2012). The Utica Shale has a corresponding mean esti-
mate of 940 million barrels of unconventional oil resources and a mean estimate of 208 million barrels of unconventional natural gas liquids.

Coal

Pennsylvania is located at the northern end of the Appalachian Coal Basin. Coal beds underlie about 15,000 square miles of the State (See Figure 24). All significant coal beds in Pennsylvania are of Pennsylvanian or Permian age. Prior to any mining, Pennsylvania contained over 75 billion tons of bituminous coal and almost 23 billion tons of anthracite and semianthracite coal (Edmunds, 1999a).

Early Coal Mining

Coal was first mined commercially in the United States in 1745 near Richmond, Virginia. In 1760, British soldiers started mining the Pittsburgh Coal seam on Coal Hill (now Mt. Washington) across the Monongahela River from Fort Pitt (Figure 3). By 1800, only Pittsburgh and Richmond, Virginia were using coal to any extent for domestic purposes. In early 1807, a Mr. Cuming, traveling from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh, upon reaching Greensburg, PA wrote:

"On entering Habach’s tavern, I was no little surprised to see a fine coal fire, and I was informed that coal is the principal fuel of the country; fifty or sixty miles 'round Pittsburgh'. It is laid down at the doors here for six cents a bushel.”

(Eavenson, 1939)

In Pittsburgh, 10 collieries (e.g. a coal mine with connected coal-processing structures) were working in Coal Hill in 1837 (Eavenson, 1939) across the Monongahela River from the City. By 1865, coke produced from coal was increasingly important in iron processing (Gregory, 1980). There are few reports on coal and coke production before 1870 and no accurate records until 1885 (Eavenson, 1942).

Mining Methods

Room and pillar mining originated as a method of extracting as much coal as possible while still providing roof control by means of coal pillars. During the 18th and 19th centuries, mines were small hand-excavated operations under shallow cover using hillside adits to enter the coal seam. Coal was cheap and the spacing, size, and regularity of pillars were somewhat arbitrary (Figure 25). Coal pillars were left in place as a matter of convenience and safety to the miners. Increased production by the mid- to late 19th century brought mechanization and ventilation requirements to mines that necessitated a systematic arrangement of pillars, but still resulted in considerable coal being left underground. Mining often extended to where the overburden was only 25 feet thick. Early extraction ratios, the proportion of coal removed, averaged 30 to 40 percent. Since coal deposits were widespread and accessible, little effort was made to improve extraction ratios.
In the latter part of the 19th century, total extraction mining was initiated to achieve greater production of the coal that was becoming increasingly valued for its coking properties by the steel industry, and as the preferred feedstock for manufactured gas plants, was first implemented in existing partial extraction mines of the day. The distinction from partial extraction mines was the long, narrow pillars left between rooms during first mining were now being extracted in a second stage of mining. Subsidence of the ground surface in a properly executed operation took place contemporaneously with pillar extraction (Gray and Bruhn, 1984).

Wide rooms and narrow pillars (10 to 15 feet wide) continued in total extraction mines because it was believed that more lump coal could be produced by room mining than by extracting the pillars left between rooms. However, by the 1920s, block systems of mining came into favor, (See Figure 26) wherein square or rectangular pillars 50 to 100 feet on a side were separated by narrow rooms and entries, reducing roof deterioration, roof falls, and support problems during pillar extraction (Paul and Plein, 1935). From 1948 to 1952, most remaining mines of the old pattern were converted to the block system as continuous mining machines were introduced on a large scale. Subsequently, as break lines controlling failure of the mine roof parallel to the pillar faces replaced angled break lines, the transition to the relatively efficient pillar extraction methods of today was essentially complete (Gray and Bruhn, 1984).

Longwall mining is another total extraction technique. The advantage is that it is a one stage operation. It was tried in the United States prior to 1900, but was not found to be economical here until 1960, after development of self-advancing roof supports (Poad, 1977).

Entries for access and ventilation are very similar to those for room and pillar mining. The extraction face of a mine panel is equipped with a row of hydraulic roof supports, a coal conveyor, and a machine to break the coal from the panel face. The system (See Figure 27) is designed to support only the area at the coal panel face and allow caving of the mine roof behind the support system, with the roof support system and conveyor automatically advanced as mining proceeds. Coal pillars supporting the entries are generally not recoverable (Gray and Bruhn, 1984). In longwall mining, the width of mined panels can exceed 1200 feet and the length of the panels can be a mile or more.

Virtually all of the economically minable bituminous coal resources of Pennsylvania are confined to 10 important coal beds in the Allegheny, Monongahela and Dunkard Groups.

The Pittsburgh Coal is the most important seam in Pennsylvania. In 2010, 16 longwalls (41% of the U.S. total) operated in the Pittsburgh Coal, including seven in Pennsylvania and nine in West Virginia (Fiscor, 2011). In spite of extensive mining, it still represents one third of the recoverable reserves over 36 inches thick and almost all of the reserves over 60 inches thick. Most of the remaining Pittsburgh Coal is in Washington and Greene Counties, south of Pittsburgh. It is a single, very persistent bed, generally between 4 and 10 feet thick, and is absent only in relatively limited areas (McCulloch et al., 1975; Socolow et al., 1980). The Pittsburgh Coal is of excellent quality overall and has been widely used for metallurgical grade coke. Except in northwestern Washington County and eastern Greene County, its sulfur content is less than 2 percent (Socolow et al., 1980). Almost all production of the Pittsburgh Coal, past and present, is from underground mines.

In the Pittsburgh area, the Upper Freeport Coal is the second most important bed in terms of mining and reserves. (See Figure 11).

Pennsylvania bituminous coal (51,877 short tons in 2009) is mined for three markets: electric power generation, industrial use, and foreign export. The domestic distribution varies, but in 2009, electric utilities consumed 94 percent, and industrial use, including coke, 5 percent. Foreign exports were approximately 4500 short tons (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2011).

**Aggregates**

Major sources of construction aggregates in the Pittsburgh area are sand and gravel, crushed stone, and repurposed steel mill slag (O’Neil, 1974). The sand and gravel is primarily glacially derived material, while the crushed stone is manufactured from local limestones and sandstones and

![Figure 27. Longwall Mine (Turka and Gray, 2005).](image-url)
the slag is a man-made byproduct resulting from iron and steel making.

As noted earlier, multiple periods of continental glaciation occurred to the north of Pittsburgh and during at least two stages of glaciation, the Illinoian and the Wisconsinian, moved into northwestern Pennsylvania. Much of the material deposited by the glaciers is located in the northern portion of the state along the borders of the ice advance or behind them as surficial features (moraines, eskers, kames, etc.). However, with the melting of the glaciers, larger amounts of sand and gravel were transported to the south by the meltwater and were deposited in the valleys of the Allegheny, Ohio and Beaver Rivers and their tributaries. The repetitive advance and retreat of the ice sheets resulted in multiple periods of sand and gravel deposition in the river valleys. During periods of significant deposition, the river valleys would fill with outwash deposits and aggrade. During the periods between the depositions, the rivers would downcut, leaving behind outwash terraces along the banks of the rivers and in the surrounding upland areas. By the end of continental glaciation, the outwash deposits had been reworked numerous times by the glacial meltwaters, which had cleaned and sorted the sands and gravels and also tended to break down the softer materials, leaving hard, sound fragments. The end result is that significant deposits of sand and gravel can be found within the river beds, their floodplains and within the higher river terraces.

Original bodies of Illinoian age glacial outwash sand and gravel deposits were estimated to have exceeded 120 feet in places and were generally found to be 90 feet thick or less. Many of the gravels derived from less resistant rocks tend to be weathered, owing to the older age of the deposits, but the sand generally remains hard, being derived primarily from quartz-rich crystalline source rocks. The younger Wisconsinian age sands and gravels were estimated to have been at least 150 feet thick in places but they were generally found to not be as thick, with measured sections generally about 70 to 80 feet thick or less. The gravel in these deposits is relatively unweathered, so the deposits tend to be excellent sources of high quality sand and gravel.

Limestone is the primary source for crushed stone aggregate in the Pittsburgh region, followed by sandstone, which in the 1970s accounted for less than 10 percent of the overall crushed stone market (O’Neil, 1974). The most important sources of limestone in the area are the Loyalhanna and Vanport limestones. The Loyalhanna limestone is Mississippian in age and is a massive fine-grained siliceous carbonate composed of quartz grains in a limestone matrix. The bed varies from 40 to 70 feet thick and is considered a good quality coarse aggregate. Uses include coarse aggregate for concrete, base and sub-base roadway material, roadway surface treatment, riprap and railroad ballast. The nearby occurrences are in the ridges to the east of Pittsburgh and the currently operating quarries are 50 miles or more from Pittsburgh (Barnes, 2011), somewhat limiting the marketability of the stone because of the associated transportation costs.

The Vanport limestone, which is located in the Pennsylvanian age Alleghenian Group, is generally a massive, dense fossiliferous marine limestone. The thickness of the Vanport is quite variable, generally on the order of 15 feet to 20 feet thick, and has been found to be absent in some areas. The greatest measured bed thickness ranges from 40 feet to 45 feet. It is not an exceptionally high-grade stone. It is used as flux for iron and steel making, for cement and for agricultural limestone as well as for coarse aggregate. The Vanport is not used for highway surface treatment because it polishes with traffic wear and develops a high skid characteristic (i.e. it becomes slick). Although there are significant reserves in the counties to the north of Pittsburgh, the operating quarries are 35 miles or more (Barnes, 2011) from the city, resulting in transportation costs that limit its marketability.

Slag piles are concentrated around Pittsburgh primarily along the rivers adjacent to the historic and existing iron and steel mills. In the region, there are two types of slag, (1) slag from open hearth, basic oxygen and electric furnaces used in steel making and (2) blast furnace slag from the production of iron. The steel making operations produce one basic type of slag but the blast furnace slag can be any of three different but basically chemically identical materials, depending on how the hot slag was tipped and cooled. (1) Air cooled slag is cooled naturally, and is crushed and screened to produce a coarse aggregate that is used in concrete and road base. (2) Granulated slag is formed when slag is quickly quenched in water creating a glassy, sand sized granular product that is used in many applications for which sand is used and for agricultural liming. (3) Lightweight or expanded slag, a slag created by the controlled processing of molten slag with water, forms a lightweight material with a bulk relative density of about 70 percent that of air cooled slag (FHA, 2012). Open hearth slag is used primarily as railroad ballast and had been used as a base or sub-base material in highway construction. Open hearth slag and other slag from steel making furnaces can be expansive when exposed to water and should not be used in confined areas without laboratory confirmation testing. More detailed information is provided in the section on expansive slags.

There are other potential but minor aggregate sources in the area, including other limestones, a number of sandstone units, sintered flyash and expanded clays and shales.

**Iron Ore**

Although Allegheny County, which eventually became the steel capital of the world, did not contain iron ore, siderite ores were present in Pennsylvanian age rocks in adjacent counties.

Most of the siderite ores were nodular or concretionary. Enriched, secondary limonite deposits commonly developed from weathering of the carbonate nodules. Siderite ores gen-
erally ranged from 30 to 40 percent iron, whereas the enriched limonitic derivatives averaged about 50 percent. In the early charcoal-iron furnaces, the lower grade unaltered siderite ores were mixed with limonitic ores from the same mine. The great era of carbonate-charcoal iron production in Western Pennsylvania lasted from 1825 to 1855. The last extensive mining of carbonate ore took place in Fayette and Westmoreland Counties prior to 1900 (Inners, 1999).

As the original hardwood forests were cleared, fuel for the iron furnaces switched in the 1850s to coal. About 1875, coal was replaced by coke (White, 1979) from local coal. Limestone flux was added to the furnaces to bond with molten iron-ore impurities, creating a glassy slag.

The early Pittsburgh region furnaces produced cast iron which has a high carbon content (3-4.5 percent), making it brittle after casting. This cast iron was either cast directly into goods or into ingots for transport to iron foundries where the ingots were converted into a more workable form, wrought iron (Hannibal et al., 2011).

Pennsylvania’s first iron furnace began production in 1692 (Hannibal et al., 2011). By the time of the American Revolution there were nearly 60 iron furnaces in Pennsylvania, and by 1841 there were well over 200 (Moldenke, 1920). Pittsburgh’s first iron furnace was erected in 1792. In the first half of the nineteenth century Pittsburgh was not known for its cast iron production, but for the foundries which converted the cast iron into wrought iron (Moldenke, 1920).

Development of the Superior ore province in the Great Lakes region eventually put the iron mining industry of western Pennsylvania out of business. However, the iron and steel industry in Pittsburgh continued to grow because bituminous coal (and its coke) became an important ingredient in the process by the mid-1800s, and Pittsburgh was the hub of coal production. Eventually, Pittsburgh became the largest iron and steel producing center in the world (Gardner, 1980).

Water Supply

Water has always been readily available to Pittsburgh and the surrounding communities from the abundance of surface water in the Allegheny, Monongahela and Ohio Rivers. Annual mean discharge data, based on nearby long-term USGS stream gaging stations, show the Allegheny River (USGS Water Data, Allegheny) at 19,750 cubic feet per second (cfs), the Monongahela River (USGS Water Data, Monongahela) at 12,650 cfs, resulting in flow at the head of the Ohio River (at Pittsburgh) of about 32,400 cfs.

The most plentiful groundwater source is from the glacial outwash alluvium that overlies the bedrock of the major stream valleys (Gallaher, 1973). This alluvial aquifer generally consists of an older, basal portion that overlies bedrock, and an upper portion that was recently deposited. Groundwater is derived primarily from the basal portion of the alluvium and the relative groundwater yield from it depends upon which river system it is located in. The Allegheny Valley generally has the coarsest basal alluvium, composed primarily of sand and gravel derived from melting glaciers to the north, while the Monongahela Valley contains finer grained silts, sands and clays derived from the erosion of the local argillaceous rock lying east and south of Pittsburgh. Alluvium in the Ohio River is a mixture of alluvium from the two rivers. The permeability can change significantly over short distances within the alluvium, but for comparative purposes; well yields in the Ohio and Allegheny valleys average about 350 gallons per minute (gpm) while yields from the Monongahela valley wells average about 125 gpm.

Groundwater is available from the Pennsylvanian age rocks nearly everywhere in the Pittsburgh area, but the yields from wells tend to be significantly lower than from the alluvial deposits. The well yields from rock wells tend to be highly variable, with many of the yields being less than five gpm but some wells reach yields of 75 to 100 gpm (Gallaher, 1973).

The primary aquifers are the harder rocks (sandstones, limestones) which have minimal primary permeability, but tend to fracture well, resulting in significant, natural secondary permeability. Much of the secondary permeability in the sandstones and limestones is created by stress relief fracturing (Ferguson, 1967) caused by erosion and unloading of the rock units along stream valleys, along with tensional and compressional fracturing along the axes of the structural folds in the area. Valley stress release as discovered and described by Ferguson (1967), and further described by Ferguson and Hamel (1981). This involves physical stress release changes to the physical integrity of the flat lying sedimentary rock layers as a valley is cut through the layers by erosion. As overburden is removed, stresses contained within the rocks are released. This generally manifests itself as open, tension-related, near vertical features in valley walls, and compression features taking the form of low angle thrust faults in the valley floors. Harry Ferguson and his U.S. Army Corps of Engineers colleagues observed this phenomenon in numerous excavations.

**Figure 28. Stress Relief Fractures (Wyrick and Borchers, 1981).**
into Pittsburgh area river bottoms during construction of the series of Ohio River navigation locks and dams. Figure 28 illustrates the process of valley stress release.

There are 78 public water supply systems in Allegheny County that service 99 percent of the almost 1,225,000 county residents. The systems, including the system for the City of Pittsburgh, are overseen by the Allegheny County Health Department. Pittsburgh is serviced by the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA, 2014), which serves 196,000 water and sewer customers within the City.

The first documented public water supply system in Pittsburgh was constructed in 1802 and consisted of four wells, serving a population of about 1600 residents. By 1828, the rapid growth of the City resulted in water shortages that eventually required construction of a river pumping station along the Allegheny River. The station supplied 40,000 gallons of water per day. The systems were expanded and updated as the population grew and the City expanded, reaching 9 million gallons per day (mgd) by 1844, and 15 mgd by 1878, to service a population of 106,000 people. Water treatment was initiated in 1902, primarily using filtration. The first complete chemical treatment system of the water was installed in the 1960s, followed by replacement of slow sand filters with a dual media, rapid sand filter system in 1969 (PWSA, 2014).

Groundwater wells continued to be used in the City but were not the primary source of drinking water. In 1927, beginning with a well for the Stanley Theater, a number of the water wells in the City were drilled strictly for air conditioning purposes (Van Tuyl, 1951). By 1950, the volume of groundwater utilized for air conditioning had increased to about 500 million gallons per year, or about 25 percent of the total groundwater usage per year. On a daily rate basis, during the average air-conditioning season (100-120 days), the air conditioning use in 1950 was about 50 percent of the total groundwater use per day. Utilizing the alluvial aquifer under the City for air conditioning purposes has continued into the twenty-first century, as evidenced by the 2007 installation of a subsurface geothermal heat pump system into the alluvium underlying Point State Park (at the confluence of the three rivers) to heat as well as cool the blockhouse museum of Fort Pitt.

Hydropower

Hydropower has been a part of the Pittsburgh region for many years. Four of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Figure 29. Aerial view of Allegheny Dam 5 and hydropower plant (Photo courtesy of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Pittsburgh).
Pittsburgh District reservoirs currently generate hydropower: Kinzua Dam and Reservoir; Youghiogheny Dam and Reservoir; Conemaugh Dam and Reservoir; and the fourth, Mahoning Dam, recently started generating hydropower (Kurka et al., 2014). Kinzua Dam and Reservoir is located on the Allegheny River near Warren, PA. At this location, the electric utility First Energy (contemporary survivor of Associated Gas & Electric Co. [AGECO], 1906-1946) draws water both from the Corps of Engineers’ Allegheny Reservoir and also from a pumped storage reservoir located high above the left abutment of the dam. The project is a peaking plant, which means that it pumps water up to the storage reservoir at night when electric rates are low, and then sends the water down an inclined power tunnel to the power plant during the day when power demand is high. As mentioned, two other Corps’ reservoirs also have hydropower generation: Youghiogheny Dam, located south of Pittsburgh, and Conemaugh Dam, located northeast of Pittsburgh. Mahoning Dam is a concrete gravity structure also located northeast of Pittsburgh that was originally built with a penstock, allowing the project to be fitted for future power generation. The penstock has been retrofitted with a turbine and downstream power plant to generate hydropower. Construction of the power plant at Mahoning Dam has been completed.

There are five low head hydroelectric plants currently in place at existing locks and dams (L/D) near Pittsburgh. Four are located on the Allegheny River above Pittsburgh; L/D 5 (at Freeport, PA); L/D 6 (at Clinton, PA); L/D 8 (Templeton, PA); and L/D 9 (at Rimer, PA). The fifth is at Hannibal Locks and Dam, located on the Ohio River close to Wheeling, West Virginia. The four low head hydroelectric plants located on the Allegheny River were built in the late 1980s. Figure 29 provides an aerial view of the plant at Allegheny Dam 5 (Freeport, PA). At each of these five sites the generating plant is located on the side of the river opposite the navigation lock. Power generation permits have been filed for many of the remaining navigation structures and flood control reservoirs by private electric power developers. Federal tax credits have stimulated developers to file these permits; however, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission licensing process is arduous, and therefore, at this time further hydropower development in the region will occur only gradually, consistent with the response of the Government.

**GEOLOGIC CONSTRAINTS**

**Seismicity and Earthquake Hazard**

Southwest Pennsylvania, including Pittsburgh, is a relatively inactive seismic area. Earthquake activity in surrounding areas is somewhat more intense. These areas include the Piedmont Province in eastern Pennsylvania, southwestern Ohio; New York State, immediately east of Buffalo, at Attica, New York; and in the St. Lawrence River Valley.

![Small offset listric normal fault in a Glenshaw Formation marine to monmoravive interval near Pittsburgh](Photo courtesy of John Harper, PA Geological Survey)

The largest earthquake-of-record in Pennsylvania, a magnitude 5.2, (mbLg) occurred on September 25, 1998, in northwestern Pennsylvania near the Ohio Border (Fleeger et al., 1999). However, Pennsylvania earthquakes are generally small. Only twice per decade, on average, is an earthquake epicentered within Pennsylvania that is large enough (Richter magnitude 3 or greater) to be felt in an area of several hundred square kilometers (Gordon and Dewey, 1999). The Pennsylvania Geologic Survey’s Map 69, Earthquake Catalog and Epicenter Map of Pennsylvania, (Faill, 2004) is the basic reference document showing the location of and listing all recorded seismic events since 1724 in Pennsylvania and surrounding areas. Some of the events that have been cataloged as “earthquakes” in the greater Ridge and Valley or Appalachian Plateaus Provinces were not tectonic earthquakes but mine explosions or related to mine subsidencex (Gordon and Dewey, 1999).

About 35 earthquakes have caused slight damage in Pennsylvania since the beginning of the American Colonial period. Occasional broken windows, cracked plaster and glassware toppled from shelves is characteristic of this type of damage. Nearly one half of these damaging events had out-of-state epicenters. Foremost among this class of distant earthquakes that were felt strongly in Pennsylvania were a trio of major earthquakes near New Madrid, MO in 1811-1812, and the Charleston, SC earthquake in 1886. Most earthquakes with epicenters inside the state have been located in southeastern Pennsylvania (Gordon and Dewey, 1999). Schamberger, 2003, provides general information on the nature, occurrence, history, and earthquake hazards in Pennsylvania.

**Faults**

Bedrock faults in the Pittsburgh region exhibit relatively small displacements. These include normal faults and thrust faults. The faults that have been identified to date are not capable of generating significant earthquakes. An example of a small offset normal fault near Pittsburgh is depicted...
in Figure 30 and a typical low angle thrust fault is shown in Figure 31. A key process in the development of small faults of importance to the region is valley stress release, as previously described in the section on water supply.

One of the most structurally complex areas, typical of the relative importance of faults to the design and construction of engineered works, located about 16 miles north of the city, is a faulted-rock zone observable along the B & O Railroad tracks at the Bakerstown Station rock cut. Figure 32 is a representation of the west wall of the cut and depicts high angle faulting within the Casselman Formation shales and claystones. Faulting is present within these fine grained-rocks of lower mass shear strength, but does not penetrate the overlying Morgantown Sandstone. This has been interpreted that the faulting ceased before deposition of the overlying Morgantown Sandstone (Wagner et al., 1970).

Several normal faults cut the Ames Limestone near Creighton, PA, located about 15 miles northeast of the city, creating a massive block of rock that has dropped down between the faults forming a classic graben structure. Reverse faults are far less common in Allegheny County than are normal faults (Harper, 2012). One of the better examples can be seen in a road cut along PA Route 28 at Tarentum, PA. At this location a portion of the lighter colored Mahoning Sandstone can be seen thrust upwards over and into darker colored shales.

A suspected strike-slip fault, representing the least common of fault orientations around Pittsburgh, is the inferred displacement of the crystalline basement rocks, at some 16,000 feet beneath Pittsburgh, detected by magnetic geophysical surveys (Harper, 2012).

In 2010, construction at a sewage treatment plant in Sewickley Township, 18 miles southeast of downtown Pittsburgh, revealed an ancient but locally significant fault. At this location, the Pennsylvanian aged sedimentary strata exhibit gentle northeast-southwest trending folds, the dip of the beds is very slight (1-2 degrees) and tectonic faults are rare (Hamel, 2011). Available mine maps for the area indicate the plant is underlain by abandoned room and pillar workings within the Pittsburgh Coal Member. The floor of the mined seam is at a depth of approximately 90 feet. Excavation for a raw sewage pump station exposed a major tectonic fault zone at least 500 feet wide and 20 feet thick with a brecciated zone containing sandstone blocks up to 15 feet in diameter in a matrix of finer breccia and fault gouge (Hamel, 2011). The fault was mapped as having both thrust and transverse components, and appears to have been related to the brittle response of the gentle folding of interbedded stronger and weaker sedimentary rocks during the Appalachian Orogeny. (Hamel, 2011) indicates that faulting of this type should be routinely expected and considered for site investigations related to foundation/load sensitive future engineering projects in the Pittsburgh region.

**Landslides**

The Appalachian Plateau has long been recognized as an area of major landslide severity with its steep hillsides, thick soil cover and precipitation of 35-45 inches per year (Figure 33).
It is a naturally dissected upland surface developed on gently folded but essentially flat-lying sedimentary rocks.

**Slope Formation**

Current slope development in the unglaciated portion of the Appalachian Plateau is consistent with flat-lying sedimentary rocks in a temperate, humid climate. The occurrence of alternating weak and resistant rock strata is reflected topographically by breaks in slope and somewhat subdued due to well-developed erosional benches (Gray et al., 1979; Gray and Gardner, 1977).

Existing and past climatic conditions, including periglacial effects, have resulted in substantial mechanical and chemical weathering, which produced a residual or colluvial soil mantle over almost the entire rock surface. The most significant periglacial effects were the greater rates of weathering, increased soil formation and subsequent mass wasting (Denny, 1956; Philbrick, 1961; Rapp, 1967).

Downslope movement of the soil results in its accumulation at the toes of slopes in colluvial masses. These colluvial soils tend to be 5 – 30 feet thick on slopes and generally increase in thickness (to a maximum of about 100 feet) near the toes of slopes unless there is active stream erosion (See Figure 34). Colluvial soils are generally stiff to hard, and individual samples have relatively high shear strengths. However, creep or sliding processes (or both) during slope development generally reduce the shear strength along movement surfaces to residual or near-residual levels (Gray et al., 1979). These low strength shear surfaces can occur at several levels within the colluvial mass but there is always a low strength shear surface at the soil-rock interface (Deere and Patton, 1971). As the slope materials seek equilibrium between stress and strength, the soil mantle moves downslope and the mean slope angle decreases, until a state of marginal equilibrium is achieved. This natural slope-flattening process accounts for the relatively thick soil cover on mature colluvial slopes, particularly at the base of slopes. Deere and Patton (1971) have suggested that there are no stable natural slopes in the Appalachian Plateau where the slope inclination exceeds 12-14°. Terzaghi and Peck (1948), report movements on slopes as flat as 10°, whereas Gray and Donovan (1971) demonstrated that several mature colluvial slopes, with evidence of pre-existing failure surfaces, had slope angles ranging from 7° to 10°. Gray and Gardner (1977) presented observations on the development of colluvial slopes.

Numerous field observations suggest that colluvial slopes, which may creep at rates of a few centimeters per year, visually appear stable unless disturbed by cutting, filling, drainage changes, or extreme precipitation events (Gray et al., 2011).

**Landsliding**

As stated above, the Appalachian Plateau is recognized as having some of the most severe landsliding in the United States (Ladd, 1927-1928; Sharpe and Dosch, 1942; Ackenheil, 1954; Eckel, 1958; Baker and Chieruzzi, 1959). Most landslides in the Appalachian Plateau occur in hillside soil masses, with the most common being slump-type slides or slow earth flows which range in size up to several million cubic yards. Rockfalls, the next most common type of slide in the area, are typically much smaller with maximum volumes on the order of a few hundred cubic yards. The best documented rockslide occurred at the Brilliant Cut in Pittsburgh in 1941 (Hamel, 1972), (See landslide case histories in the following section). At present, deep-seated rockslides are uncommon in the area. However, during the Pleistocene Epoch, when climate was more severe and rivers were rapidly downcutting their valleys, this type of slide is believed to have been common. Other types of slide movements are relatively rare. Injuries and fatalities due to landslides are rare and result mainly from rockfalls on highway slopes and soil falls in construction trench excavations.

Residual strength values play an important role in the evaluation of landslides and the design of remedial measures in the area. The colluvium generally exhibits strain-softening behavior (Skempton, 1964) and its residual (large displacement) shear strength is generally less than half its peak (small displacement) strength at a given effective normal stress. For effective normal stresses of less than about 50 psi, the peak strength of claystone colluvium is commonly characterized by cohesion intercepts of one to five psi and friction angles of 20-25°, while the residual strength is usually characterized by negligible cohesion intercepts and friction angles of 8-20°. Measured residual friction angles for most claystone-derived colluvium are on the order of 11-16° (Gray et al., 1979). Experience in calculation of strength data from colluvial slide masses (Hamel, 1969; Hamel and Flint, 1969; Hamel and Flint 1972; Gray and Donovan, 1971; Hamel, 1980, 2004) indicates
that in-place shear strengths are characterized by residual level friction angles of 13-16°, with a zero cohesion intercept.

The largest slides usually result from disturbance of ancient landslide masses in soils and/or rock. These ancient landslides appear to have mainly occurred in a moister periglacial conditions (Gray et al., 1979; Hamel 1998). Limited radiocarbon dating of wood in the colluvium (Philbrick, 1961; D’Appolonia et al., 1967) suggest a Pleistocene age for some of these deposits. Peltier (1950) and Denny (1956) found fossil periglacial features close to the front of the maximum advance of the Wisconsinian glaciation in Pennsylvania which strongly support the influence of Pleistocene periglacial processes on slopes.

Rockfalls result from differential weathering, creating unsupported, resistant rock overhangs. Rates of undercutting have been observed that vary from one inch to seven inches per year, based on measurements conducted over a period of several years (Philbrick, 1959; Bonk, 1964).

Fleming and Taylor (1980) published landslide damage estimates for Allegheny County (Pittsburgh and suburbs) from 1970 to 1976. Annual costs ranged from $1.2 to $4.0 million over this 7-year period and averaged $2.2 million per year. The maximum annual cost of $4 million was for 1972, the year of tropical storm Agnes.

**Landslide Case Histories**

**Brilliant Cut Rock Slide**

Several rockfalls and landslides in the Pittsburgh, area have been costly in lives and money. An historic case involves the failure of 110,000 cubic yards of rock that broke away from a large railroad cut in Pittsburgh on March 20, 1941 (Hamel, 1972). The area of the catastrophic rock slide is known as the Brilliant Cut. The rock cut was located on the nose of a hill at the junction of an abandoned tributary valley and the Allegheny River valley. The hill consists of nearly horizontal beds of sandstone, shale, siltstone, claystone, and coal. The cut was originally excavated in the early 1900s, and in 1904 experienced its first rock slide. In 1930, the railroad tracks were relocated farther into the rock slope, triggering two additional slope failures (Xanthakos et al., 1994). In addition, in the 1930s a one-foot wide joint opened in the rock mass which extended to the crest of the hill. The joint was persistent extending through the rock layers and has been interpreted to be a valley-stress relief joint (Hamel, 1972).

The March, 1941 rock slide displaced multiple sets of railroad tracks and derailed an operating train. The remedial rock excavation cost was about $100,000. Analyses conducted subsequent to the slope failure indicated that the rock slide was triggered by cleft (joint) water pressure that had built up within the master rock joint, primarily because natural drainage from within the slope had been blocked by large buildups of ice.

**Aliquippa Rock Slide**

On December 22, 1942, about 150 cubic yards of rock plunged off a highway cut on the west bank of the Ohio River about 16 miles downstream of Pittsburgh, killing many factory workers riding in a bus. (Gray et al., 1979) On that day, at 5:03 p.m., an Ohio Valley Motor Coach bus left from Aliquippa (the site of an operating steel plant) for Pittsburgh. The bus was filled with wartime steel workers on their way home following completion of a work shift. At 5:12 p.m., the time that victim’s watches stopped, the bus was demolished by an avalanche of rock, loosened by freezing and thawing, falling 75 feet down the hillside and crushing the bus. Two of the largest blocks were estimated to be in the range of 100 tons each. The accident killed 22 passengers and injured three.

The valley wall at the location of the rock slide was approximately 360 feet high with a mean inclination of 30 to 35 degrees (Gray et al., 1979). Adjustments to the slope were made in 1922, with the excavation of a 45 foot side-hill cut. At the road level, approximately 15 feet of erodible clay shale was exposed and overlain by 6 feet of soft claystone. These weak rock layers were overlain by about 18 feet of hard sandy shale with numerous joints oriented parallel to the valley wall. The clayshale and claystone units rapidly weathered, undercutting the hard sandy shale above. Records supplied by Ackenheil (1954) indicate that at least nine major rock falls occurred on this section of road between 1932 and 1954. This event remains the worst rock slide to occur in the Pittsburgh region. This slope was later redesigned to minimize rockfalls and reconstruction of the slope was completed in 1956.

**Expansive Shales and Slags**

**Expansive Shales**

Structural damage due to heaving caused by expansive sulfide minerals in shales was first recorded in western Pennsylvania in 1950 (Dougherty and Barsotti, 1972). Heaving of the ground results from oxidation of sulfide minerals such as pyrite and marcasite. Finely divided, black, amorphous sulfide minerals are very susceptible to oxidation due to their relatively large surface area.

Iron sulfide content of the expansive shales usually exceeds one percent by weight. However, damaging heave is reported for sulfide contents as low as 0.1 percent. Many of the expansive shales, although not all, are dark in color. These dark shales are often called carbonaceous shale, which implies the shale’s dark color is due to high carbon content. Often the dark color is due to black amorphous sulfide minerals rather than carbon.

Researchers report weathering of the shale is partly biochemical, and caused by autotrophic bacteria belonging to the Thiobbcillus-Ferrobacillus group. The preferred envi-
Environment for this expansion process seems to be warm, relatively dry and with a plentiful supply of air (Penner et al., 1973). No clear relationship has been identified between the amount of heaving and iron sulfide content or thickness of the shale (Dougherty and Barsotti, 1972). When identified in the planning stage of a project, the state of practice is to avoid the expansive horizons by setting building levels below or well above the potentially expansive materials, or to remove them by excavation. If this cannot be done, the expansive shales are sealed with concrete or bituminous materials in an attempt to prevent oxidation. However, there remains some risk of heave.

Although no correlations have been identified that relate maximum heave to thickness of the expansive shale or percent of sulfide content (Dougherty and Barsotti, 1972), heave is a process that can continue for many years. Heave can be substantial as the oxidized iron sulfides occupy ten times more space than un-oxidized material and the splitting of the shale causes it to occupy a greater volume (ENR, 1960). The ENR article indicates structures in Cleveland were still heaving 25 to 40 years after their construction. In Cleveland, three story walls and stairways have been cracked, some appreciably. In one case a lightly loaded column was raised 4 inches by the heave of the expansive shale (ENR, 1960). Spanovich and Fewell (1969) report their observations verify heave pressures exceeding 6,000 pounds per square foot.

Queen of Angels (originally named St. Agnes) School in North Huntingdon, PA (east of Pittsburgh) was built in 1961 as a one story, slab on grade building with the walls supported on grade beams which spanned between spread footing foundations. The walls and floors began to crack shortly after the school opened. The building continued to heave, and engineering studies in 1989, 1992, 1994 and 1997 found the damage becoming progressively worse. In 1999, it was concluded the school’s main structural support system had failed and that repairs estimated at more than $2.5 million were required. The 39 year old building was closed and it was demolished in 2000 (Reeger, 2003).

**Expansive Slags**

Expansion of slags occurs when free calcium and magnesium oxides (CaO and MgO) take on water. Particle size is an important factor controlling the rate of expansion since the smaller the size the greater the surface area, and the greater the exposure to moisture. The number of damage cases due to expansive slags is relatively few because producers of potentially expansive slag have learned not to use it in their own plants and generally have placed such slag in waste dumps. The iron and steel industry produces a variety of slags as byproducts of its operations. Iron blast furnace slag, both air cooled and granulated, has a long history of use. However, steel slags from open hearth, basic oxygen, and electric furnaces have exhibited expansive properties. (Crawford and Burn, 1969)

**Flooding**

Pittsburgh has experienced a wide range of flood hazards including hurricane related rainfall events, spring snow melt, and releases related to ice jam flooding. Due to its location at the headwaters of the Ohio River, the region is historically susceptible to flooding. In the headwaters region of the Ohio Basin, slopes are often steep and runoff into tributaries and rivers occurs rapidly. As in other intensively-urbanized areas with moderately high precipitation, ground removed from potential infiltration, such as ground covered by the built environment, (streets and parking lots), the transfer from rainfall to flood management is nearly instant, and stream surges can mount within 24 hours, as noted below.

The headwaters region of the Ohio River receives one of the highest annual rainfall amounts in the country and also has one of the lowest evaporation rates (Loehlein, 2010). The region also has one of the highest reliabilities of receiving its annual rainfall in the world. Unlike most regions, the area surrounding Pittsburgh historically has had flooding from every direction; for example: from storms that cross over the Great Lakes to the northwest, thunderstorm activity originating in the Gulf of Mexico, and hurricanes that form in the Atlantic Ocean. Average winter snow accumulations of 40-60 inches per year contributes to the area’s flood prone nature. Because of the area’s significant relief, flooding of Pittsburgh can occur within 24 hours after the initiation of a storm event.

Flooding in Pittsburgh has occurred since the early settlement of the region. One of the earliest recorded floods was at Fort Pitt in January 1762. Many homes were filled with water and the village surrounding the fort was mud covered. However, no one drowned from what was then reported as “ye Deluge or Inundation.” (Johnson, 1978).

Many devastating floods impacted Pittsburgh. On April 6 and April 19, 1852, floodwaters reached 28 feet and 34.9 feet, respectively, on the Pittsburgh river gage. Normal river stage at Pittsburgh is 16 feet +/- and flood stage at the Point has been established as being any level above 24 feet. On the Allegheny River just above Pittsburgh, many residents were routinely prepared to take to standby rafts for protection from the rising waters. The “St. Valentine’s Day Flood” of 1884 peaked in the city at a stage of 36.3 feet, leaving at least 10,000 Pittsburghers homeless and some 15,000 out of work (Johnson, 1978). Further down the Ohio River, conditions were even more serious, where private and municipal levees were overtopped.

Devastating flooding again plagued Pittsburgh in 1908, leading to the formation of a Pittsburgh Flood Commission to evaluate flooding in the city. It was the first of its kind and the voluminous Commission report, which was released in 1912, predicted that Pittsburgh would someday experience a 40-foot flood stage and recommended construction of a system of reservoirs and levees to protect the City (Johnson, 1978). A number of surveys were undertaken to determine
the optimal sites for dams that would impound reservoirs and act to attenuate flooding downstream. Some of the major river systems evaluated for such projects were the Allegheny, Mahoning, and Shenango rivers. Following a flood in 1913, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers took a more aggressive approach to flooding problems. This was likely a direct reaction to the strong opinions of then President Theodore Roosevelt, who was quoted as saying, while the flood of 1913 was still reeding: “We are spending millions for relief of flood victims, but not one cent to solve flood problems.” Roosevelt declared that it was imperative for the Federal Government to build multipurpose dams and reservoirs to conserve flood waters to later use for irrigation, hydroelectric power generation, and improving dry season flows (Johnson, 1978). In direct response to this national attention, the Ohio River Flood Board was formed to initiate America’s first regional flood mitigation planning. Additional floods impacted Pittsburgh, with the most significant one occurring in March 1936. That flood peaked at over thirty feet above normal river level (46.4 feet actual water depth). This event was then calculated to represent a record setting 500-year flood, and considered to be the worst flood to impact the Pittsburgh region and the city (See Figure 35). Subsequently, flood control was initiated by the Corps of Engineers in 1938. The severity of the flooding in Pittsburgh was greatly reduced during the more recent floods that occurred in 1972, associated with Hurricane Agnes, and in 1996, by the system of upstream flood control reservoirs.

The Great Flood of 1889

On May 31, 1889, approximately 60 miles east of Pittsburgh, a man-made disaster of unrivaled proportions took place in the City of Johnstown, Pennsylvania. It was the Johnstown Flood (or the “Great Flood of 1889” as it became known locally). Heavy rains poured down over this direct upper sub-basin of the Ohio River for several days (Law, 1997). The area surrounding Johnstown remains naturally prone to flooding due to its position at the confluence of the Little Conemaugh River and Stony Creek, which form the Conemaugh River. The area above Johnstown consists of a 657 square-mile watershed within the Allegheny Plateau. Adding to these factors, artificial narrowing of the riverbed because of early industrial development made the city even more flood-prone. The Conemaugh River immediately downstream of Johnstown is hemmed in by steep mountain slopes.

Upstream of Johnstown, near the small town of South Fork, the South Fork Dam was originally built between 1838 and 1853 by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as part of a canal water delivery system to be used as a source of water for a canal basin in Johnstown (McCullough, 1968). With railroads superseding canal barge transport, the obsolete South Fork Lake was sold to the Pennsylvania Railroad, and later sold again to private interests. A group of notable Pittsburgh businessmen, including coal and coke magnate Henry Clay Frick, and Andrew Carnegie led a group to purchase the reservoir, modify it, and convert it into a private resort lake for wealthy industrialists of Pittsburgh. They built cottages and a clubhouse to create the South Fork Fishing and Hunting Club, an exclusive mountain retreat. Membership grew to include over 50 wealthy Pittsburgh steel, coal, and railroad industrialists. Changes to the lake, which was renamed Lake Conemaugh, included lowering the dam, which impounded the lake to make its top wide enough to hold a road, and putting a fish screen in the spillway, which unfortunately could also trap debris. These alterations increased the vulnerability of the dam to overtopping.

Lake Conemaugh sat at 450 feet in elevation above Johnstown. The lake was about 2 miles long, approximately 1 mile wide, and 60 feet deep near the dam. The lake had a perimeter of 7 miles and held 20 million tons of water. When the water was at its highest point in the spring, the lake covered over 400 acres. The dam was 72 feet high and 931 feet long. Between 1881, when the club was opened, and 1889, the dam frequently leaked and was patched, mostly with mud and straw. Additionally, a previous owner removed and sold for scrap the three cast iron discharge pipes that previously allowed a controlled release of water, as a form of safety-related control of the impounded water level. There had been some speculation as to the dam’s integrity, raised by the head of the Cambria Iron Works, which was located directly downstream, in Johnstown. Carnegie Steel’s chief competitor, the Cambria Iron Works, at that time boasted the

![Figure 35. Fort Pitt Blockhouse – Historical flood levels at the Point (Photo courtesy of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers).](image-url)
world’s largest annual steel production. Despite these concerns, no major corrective action was taken, and the flawed dam continued to impound Lake Conemaugh as depicted in Figure 36 (McCullough, 1968).

In late May 1889, a major storm formed over the Midwest, moving east. When the storm struck the Johnstown/South Fork area two days later, it was the largest recorded rain event in that part of the country (Law, 1997). The U.S. Army Signal Corps estimated that 6 to 10 inches of rain fell within 24 hours over the entire region of west-central Pennsylvania. During the night small creeks became roaring torrents, ripping out trees and carrying significant amounts of debris. Most telegraph lines were struck down and rail lines washed away. Before long the Conemaugh River overflowed its banks. At around 3:10 p.m. on May 31, 1889, the South Fork Dam failed, allowing the 20 million tons of Lake Conemaugh to cascade down the narrowly channeled Little Conemaugh River. It took about 40 minutes for the entire lake to drain. As the flood wave made its way to Johnstown, it picked up and carried an immense amount of debris, and there was total devastation in the city (See Figure 37). In some areas of the Little Conemaugh River, the narrowly constrained river transferred the flood at high velocity, and its own valley bottom was eroded down to bedrock. The death and destruction in Johnstown was nothing less than a total catastrophe. The total death toll was 2,209, making the disaster the largest loss of civilian life in the United States at the time, and the worst dam failure in United States history when measured in terms of loss of life. The remnants of the failed dam can be seen in place today.

Figure 36. Artist’s depiction of South Fork Dam prior to its 1889 failure (National Park Service, 2008)

Figure 37. Flood aftermath in Johnstown in 1889 (National Park Service, 2008).
Figure 38. Current photograph of South Fork Dam depicting abutment remnants (National Park Service, 2008).
as depicted in Figure 38. Following the tragic failure of the South Fork Dam in 1889, and the subsequent failure of Austin Dam in north-central Pennsylvania in 1911 (Greene and Christ, 1998), Pennsylvania instituted one of the first State dam safety commissions in the nation in 1913.

### Coal Mine Subsidence

**Historical Background**

Mining in western Pennsylvania was concentrated in the Pittsburgh Coal seam which was accessed by adits driven into hillsides. From Coal Hill, mining progressed up the Monongahela River valley and over time moved inland away from the river – which was still extensively used for transportation of coal to markets. The land surface above the mines was largely used for pasture or agriculture. In the late 1940s and the 1950s, rapidly expanding suburbs were constructed over both abandoned and active mines. Over the abandoned mines, most problems were related to sinkhole formation in areas of shallow mining.

In abandoned mine areas, concerns for subsidence damage due to pillar failure were mainly limited to schools driven into hillsides. From Coal Hill, mining progressed up the Monongahela River valley and over time moved inland away from the river – which was still extensively used for transportation of coal to markets. The land surface above the mines was largely used for pasture or agriculture. In the late 1940s and the 1950s, rapidly expanding suburbs were constructed over both abandoned and active mines. Over the abandoned mines, most problems were related to sinkhole formation in areas of shallow mining.

In abandoned mine areas, concerns for subsidence damage due to pillar failure were mainly limited to schools and large commercial developments. Most active mines, by this time, were using full extraction room and pillar mining. The mining companies had purchased the coal many years before, generally with a waiver of surface damage or the right to legally subside the land surface. However, many coal-mining companies, recognizing social problems, offered protection to surface landowners. Starting in 1957, one company even guaranteed safety from subsidence if approximately 50 percent of the coal was purchased by homeowners and left in place by the mining company. The extent of support for a home was usually determined by providing a zone 15 feet in width around the periphery of the home. This area was then projected downward and outward at an angle of 15° from the vertical to the level of the mine. This projected area became the recommended area of support. Unmined pillars of coal equivalent in area to 50 percent of the support area were left in place to prevent subsidence as indicated in Figure 39. For an average coal-seam thickness of 6 feet, which is typical of the Pittsburgh Coal, approximately 10,000 tons of coal per acre is present. To purchase support for a single dwelling located a significant distance above a mine was often a prohibitive cost to homeowners. For groups of homes where the support areas overlap, the shared cost was greatly reduced. In 10 years, one company, which guaranteed surface protection, provided support for 635 homes and had to make repairs on approximately 2 percent of the homes (Gray and Meyers, 1970).

The Bituminous Mine Subsidence and Land Conservation Act of 1966 was enacted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to prevent undermining which would damage any public buildings or any noncommercial structures customarily used by the public, such as churches, schools, hospitals and municipal utilities or municipal public services operations, homes and cemeteries. This law covered only structures existing at the time of enactment. For new structures, the mining law required that subsequent property-ownership
deeds, indicate the existence or lack of existence of subsurface support. Prior to mining, the coal company was required to contact property owners and assign a price for leaving coal pillar support as previously described. If the price was not agreeable to the property owner, then the Secretary of the State Department of Mines and Mineral Industries assigned a mediator to determine just compensation for the coal to be left in place for surface support (Gray and Meyers, 1970). The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 imposed land use controls on active mines. This law requires an evaluation of whether subsidence can occur and cause material damage or diminution of use of structures or renewable resource lands. If a potential for damage is present, a plan to prevent or mitigate the damage is required.

**Coal Extraction and Subsidence**

Subsidence does not occur until mining removes a significant amount of coal. What is significant is related to the geometry of the mine, its depth and the physical characteristics of the coal and overlying rock strata. In many ways, all interests are met if complete extraction occurs in a large part of the mine, which results in subsidence of the ground surface contemporaneously with mining. Many mines in operation today utilize longwall mining, which removes all coal from large areas, or total extraction room and pillar mining, which systematically removes the coal pillars from one end of a large panel to the other. Total extraction in room and pillar mines has been practiced in the Pittsburgh region since the latter part of the 19th century.

Subsidence contemporaneous with longwall or total extraction room and pillar mining is similar and ceases in a few months to a few years after mining. However, other mines only remove a portion of the coal leaving pillars of coal in place. Uniformly spaced pillars, if of sufficient size relative to the strength of the mine roof, floor and coal itself, can support the overlying rock strata without subsidence. If the coal pillars are too weak, subsidence will eventually occur. This is the case with many old mines. Subsidence over abandoned mines may continue for many years and is often sporadic.

The availability and quality of mine maps varies throughout the United States. In the Pittsburgh region mine maps are usually available for all but the earliest or very small mines. Large mining companies became common after the Civil War resulting in excellent maps. Gray et al., (1996) discuss mine map accuracy.

**Mining Related Ground Movements**

The angle-of-draw defines the limits of subsidence over a particular mined-out area. However, small movements outside the angle of draw and associated with longwall mining were recognized about 20 years ago in Australia (Hebblewhite, 2001). Although the mechanism of these movements remains uncertain, possible explanations include one, or a combination of factors, such as post-mining stress relaxation, valley bulging, regional joint patterns, shearing of valley walls and bedding-plane shear failure (Hebblewhite, 2001). These movements, sometimes described as far-field movements, may occur over a mile from the longwall panel, and, thus, well outside the angle of draw (Waddington and Associates, 2002; Hebblewhite, 2001).

Similar movements have been recently recognized in southwestern Pennsylvania in studies of longwall mining.

---

**Figure 40. Modes of Mine Subsidence** (Gray, 1999).
under Interstate 70 (GeoTDR, Inc. 2001) and in the remedial investigation of leakage of Ryerson Dam in 2005 (Hebblewhite and Gray, 2014). In monitoring the mining under Interstate 70, Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) cables, installed in deep boreholes, recorded deformations over 1000 feet in front of the advancing mine panel, well beyond the limits of theoretically anticipated movement around the active mine panel (GeoTDR, Inc. 2001). Mining of a longwall panel 2500 feet south of Ryerson Dam is the only apparent concurrent cause of bedding-plane slip in rock beneath the dam, which was recorded by slope inclinometers (Hebblewhite and Gray, 2014a).

**Subsidence Modes**

Topographic ground surface subsidence features over mines are classified as sinkholes or troughs (Figure 40). A sinkhole is a depression in the ground surface that occurs from collapse of the overburden into a mine opening (a room or an entry). A trough is a shallow, commonly broad, dish-shaped depression that develops when the overburden sags downward into a mine opening in response to coal extraction, crushing of mine pillars, or punching of pillars into the mine floor. Troughs develop over both active and abandoned mines. There appears to be no safe depth of mining that prevents trough development.

Sinkholes generally develop where the cover above a mine is relatively thin (Figure 41). Competent strata above the coal limit sinkhole development (Figure 40). Piggott and Eynon (1978) indicated that sinkhole development normally occurs where the interval to the ground surface is less than three to five times the thickness of the extracted seam, and that the maximum overburden interval is up to 10 times the thickness of the extracted seam. In western Pennsylvania, most sinkholes develop where the soil and rock above a mine are less than 50 feet thick (Bruhn et al., 1978). A study of subsidence in the Pittsburgh area revealed that the majority of sinkholes, which constituted about 95 percent of all reported subsidence incidents, occurred on sites located less than 60 feet above mine level (Bruhn et al., 1981).

**Abandoned Mines**

Figures 42 and 43 show subsidence damage over abandoned mines. It appears that:

1. Unless total extraction has occurred, there is no interval above an abandoned mine that is safe from subsidence, nor is there necessarily a reduction in severity of damage with increased intervals;
2. Subsidence occurs at reduced frequency with increasing overburden thickness; and,
3. Unless total extraction has been achieved, subsidence may occur long after mining and may not be limited to a single episode (Gray, 1988).

Item (3) implies that the possibility of future subsidence at a site cannot be ruled out merely because subsidence has not occurred in the first 50 to 100 years after mining. If abandoned mine openings beneath a site have not been designed for long-term stability, the potential for subsidence remains until the openings collapse, or until they are stabilized by backfilling, grout columns, or some other engineered remedial ground-support means (Gray et al., 1974).
Precisely when collapse might take place in the absence of stabilization is not predictable. Even after subsidence has taken place at a particular site, the possibility of future additional subsidence may remain. Multiple episodes of subsidence have been documented at many sites in the Pittsburgh region (Gray et al., 1977). Pillar failure can fall into three general categories: delayed, progressive, or sporadic (Abel and Lee, 1980). Site surveillance programs of a few months’ duration or, in fact, indefinite duration cannot provide definitive evidence that a site overlying a mine with open voids will not experience future subsidence (Bruhn et al., 1981).

Insurance programs to provide assistance, if and when subsidence occurs, appear desirable (DuMontelle et al., 1981). Pennsylvania and other states have mine-subsidence insurance programs. Such an approach appears more desirable than large-scale urban stabilization programs for residential areas (Gray, 1983).

**Volcanism**

The Pittsburgh region contains no volcanoes or volcanic deposits. The closest volcano to Pittsburgh, Mount Tremblant, is almost 500 miles north in Quebec Province. However, in 1766 Reverend Charles Beatty, a well-educated English Presbyterian minister, visited Pittsburgh and climbed Coal Hill where British soldiers were mining the Pittsburgh Coal. Reverend Beatty wrote in his journal: “In the afternoon we cross the Mocconghehela River accompanied by gentlemen, and went up the hill opposite the fort, but a very difficult ascent, in order to take a view of that part of it more particularly from which the garrison is supplied with coals, which is not far from the top. A fire being made by the workmen not far from the place where they dig the coal, and left burning when they went away, by the small dust communicated itself to the body of the coals and set it on fire, and has now been burning almost a twelve month entirely underground, for the space of twenty yards or more along the face of the hill or rock, the way the vein of coal extends, the smoke ascending up through the chinks of the rocks. The earth in some places is so warm that we could hardly bear to stand upon it: at one place where the smoke came up we opened a hole in the earth till it was so hot as to burn paper thrown into it; the steam that came out was so strong of sulphur that we could scarce bear it. We found pieces of matter there, some of which appeared to be sulphur, other nitre, and some a mixture of both. If these strata be large in this mountain it may become a volcano. The smoke arising out of this mountain appears to be much greater in rainy weather than at other times. The fire has already undermined some part of the mountain so that great fragments of it, and trees with their roots are fallen down its face. On the top of the Mountain is a very rich soil covered with fine verdure, and has a very easy slope on the other side, so that it may be easily cultivated” (Eavenson, 1942).

Although, today such a ridiculous idea is amusing, at that time it was the accepted wisdom in Europe. Abraham Werner, the most renowned geologist in Europe, believed coal was the fuel of volcanoes into the 1800s (Adams, 1938).

**Acid Rock**

Acid rock drainage is the water-quality hazard resulting from the oxidation of iron sulfide minerals (Nordstrom and Alpers, 1999). In the Pittsburgh area and elsewhere in the coal bearing Pennsylvanian age rocks, it is common to encounter acid mine drainage generated by coal and pyritic shale.

However, acid rock drainage resulting from other sources was virtually unknown in the area until 2003. At that time, an excavation for Interstate 99 (I-99) at the Skytop site on Bald Eagle Mountain, located to the west of State College in Centre County, Pennsylvania, exposed pyrite-rich rocks associated with a zinc-lead deposit within a sandstone ridge. As part of the I-99 work, this sandstone was excavated, crushed and used locally as road base and fill. Within months, acidic (pH<3), metal-laden seeps and surface runoff was generated from the crushed rock fill and the exposed pyritic deposits in the roadcut. This raised concerns about surface water and groundwater contamination and prompted a halt in road construction and the beginning of a costly program of environmental remediation. The Skytop site posed a reclamation challenge because the road base and fills were deposited over a large area, there was a lack of neutralizing minerals in the host rock, and the acidic drainage exhibited low pH and a complex chemistry. The situation at Skytop was more extreme than situations involving acid mine drainage from coal mines and is comparable to environmental problems that develop at abandoned metal mines. (Hammarstrom et al., 2005).

Pennsylvania had developed special handling techniques for coal surface mines spoil and for acid producing materials in highway construction prior to the Skytop incident. However, pyrite rich sandstones such as those encountered at Skytop had not been identified prior to the highway excavation and therefore no plans were prepared for handling the acid rock. The potential for situations similar to what had happened at Skytop, where unexpected acid rock might be encountered, prompted the Pennsylvania Geological Survey to prepare a publication on acid rock in the Commonwealth. The resultant open file publication (Pennsylvania Geological Survey, 2005) includes a map showing the formations that may contain acid-forming minerals (primarily pyrite). The publication also includes text describing each of the formations. In the Pittsburgh area, the identified areas correspond with the coal bearing formations.
TRANSPORTATION

Canals

Philadelphia had been the leading seaport on the Atlantic Coast in the 1700s, but in the early 1800s, completion of the Erie Canal to the north, connecting New York City to the Great Lakes via the Hudson and Mohawk Rivers, and Maryland’s National Road to the south, connecting Baltimore to the Ohio River at Wheeling, West Virginia (Shank, 1981) resulted in the growth of those two seaports as the emergent gateways to the great American West. People and goods transported through Pennsylvania from the east coast to Pittsburgh were moved primarily by coaches and wagons via a system of locally owned and constructed turnpikes. Movement of people and freight by this pioneer system was slow and of limited capacity, resulting in high transportation costs. Conestoga wagons were used to carry freight over the roads and took about 23 days to go from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh.

Pennsylvania constructed a system of canals in order to improve the transportation from Philadelphia and the east coast to Pittsburgh and to compete with New York City and Baltimore. The trunk section of the Pennsylvania Canal system, referred to as the Main Line of Public Works, ran from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh and covered a distance of 395 miles. Construction began in 1826 and the final link, the Allegheny Portage Railroad, was completed in 1834. The Allegheny Portage Railroad was constructed to transport canal boats over the Allegheny Mountains on railroad cars on a series of inclined planes where the cars were either pulled up or let down the inclined planes originally with stationary engines and later with steam locomotives.

The canal boats moved at an average of about four miles an hour. The canals were generally forty feet wide and four feet deep, with locks to change elevation. There were towpaths on either side of the canals for the animals pulling the canal boats. The canal boats could carry the same loads as the Conestoga Wagons and shortened the trip from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh to about four and one-half days and later, to three and one-half days when steam locomotives replaced animals on the canal tow paths. The boats varied in size, with the largest being 79 feet long and capable of carrying 25 passengers and 30 tons of freight (Shank, 1981).

The canal approached Pittsburgh along the north side of the Allegheny River and then split, one branch extended to the north shore of the Allegheny River for access to the Ohio River and the other branch passed over the Allegheny River and into Pittsburgh via an aqueduct that was 1,100 feet long (See Figure 44).

From the aqueduct the canal passed to the main terminal and turning basin. The canal was continued to the south, through a tunnel completed in 1828, and ended on the south side of the city at a lock structure providing access to the Monongahela River. Originally, the plan had been to extend the Chesapeake and Ohio (C&O) Canal to Pittsburgh and connect the two canal systems at the lock structure but the C&O canal was never extended that far.

The original aqueduct over the Allegheny River was replaced in 1844 by John A. Roebling’s first wire cable sus-
pension bridge, the Allegheny Aqueduct (ExplorePAHistory, Roeblings, 2014). Mr. Roebling lived at that time in Saxonburg, Pa, about an hour north of Pittsburgh, where he was attempting to establish a settlement of German immigrants. In 1841, he also obtained a contract to replace the hemp ropes used to pull the boats on the Portage Railroad with wire rope and he built a factory at Saxonburg to make the needed cable. Aside from the Allegheny Aqueduct, he also designed two other suspension bridges in the city, the Smithfield Street Bridge over the Monongahela River in 1846, which was replaced in 1883, and the Sixth Street Bridge over the Allegheny River in 1859.

In 1854, the Pennsylvania Railroad initiated rail service between Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, reducing the travel time to only 13 hours. The railroads quickly made the canals obsolete and the canal system was eventually sold at a loss to the Pennsylvania Railroad in 1857. They briefly ran the system and then shut it down, using some sections for rail lines and continuing to operate other sections. The last canal section near Harrisburg was shut down in 1901.

The canal tunnel that carried the canal from the Allegheny to Monongahela Rivers was uncovered during the foundation excavation for the USX Tower (built as the headquarters office of US Steel) in 1967 (now UPMC Building). Figure 45 shows the tunnel as it was exposed during construction along with a nearby rail tunnel.

**River Navigation Structures**

Since early settlement of western Pennsylvania, the three rivers (Allegheny, Monongahela, and Ohio) have served the region for basic transportation and shipment of goods and cargo on barges; pushed by towboats (or “tows”). The amount of coal transported down river from Pittsburgh increased greatly following the Civil War (John, 1978). The size of the tows also grew with the amount of coal hauled with increasing down-river demand.

Due to the escalating coal trade, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers began studying methods to produce a reliable navigation depth on the Ohio River. The Corps launched an international study to analyze other navigation projects worldwide. The study led to the determination that construction of an integrated system of locks and dams, each forming downstream pool (defined as a reach of artificially deepened river) was the best solution to meet the demands of a growing navigation industry. The increased storage capacity of each pool increased the amount of river water that could be managed by sequential release from each pool proceeding down river.

Opening of the first lock and dam on the Ohio River at Davis Island in 1885, located immediately downstream of Pittsburgh, proved to be a significant technologic advance.

**Figure 45.** Tunnels exposed during USX Tower foundation construction (Rathke, 1968).
Figure 46. Location of Locks and Dams on Pittsburgh’s Three Rivers (Figure courtesy of the Port of Pittsburgh Commission).
for the civil engineering profession at large. At Davis Island Lock and Dam, the wooden timber wicket dam was almost 1900 feet long and the dimension-stone masonry lock, 600-feet long and 110-feet wide, was the world’s largest river navigation structure at that time. Even then the stone-masonry lock at Davis Island was wider than the reinforced concrete locks built in 1914 at the Panama Canal (Johnson, 1978).

In 1910, the Rivers and Harbors Act was passed by Congress, providing for the systematic construction of a system of locks and dams along the Ohio River. The project produced 51 wooden wicket dams and typical lock chambers of 600-feet long by 110-feet wide along the length of the river starting at Pittsburgh. Wicket dams were composed of moveable slab sections that were hinged at the bottom and held upright by adjustable props. Wicket dams in the Pittsburgh region were the earliest to be replaced by mass concrete dams.

Figure 47. Map of Pittsburgh Railroads in 1855 (Pennsylvania Railroad, 1948).

Taken together, the systems of locks and dams on the three rivers of the Pittsburgh region have been described as “rivers that are highways.” Even today, they are the most efficient and cost-effective means to move bulk commodities such as coal, and construction aggregates. Throughout the late 19th and early 20th centuries, the Monongahela River has carried a greater tonnage than any other inland river in America (Johnson, 1978). In comparison with the mighty Ohio and Mississippi Rivers, the Monongahela River was called the “Little Giant” because of the tonnage it transported annually. Moving coal to steel mills in the western Pennsylvania towns upstream and downstream of Pittsburgh was of great importance, especially to the war effort in the late 1930s and early 1940s.

During the 1940s, a shift from steam-propelled to diesel powered towboats allowed for larger tows on the river. However, this meant that tows had to be disassembled in order to lock all the barges through in multiple lockages, then reassembled before continuing. This functional inconvenience created backed up river traffic and increased expenses for the river tow boat industry. Even as modernization of locks in the lower Ohio River was initiated in the 1950s to handle the larger tows, the locks in the Pittsburgh region remained unchanged. In the upper Ohio River, nearest Pittsburgh, each river navigation dam, being of a gated type or a simple concrete weir, has two parallel, adjoining locks; one 600-feet by 110-feet main chamber and a 360-feet long by 56-feet wide auxiliary chamber.

The Pittsburgh District Corps of Engineers currently operates and maintains 23 locks and dams on the three rivers (See Figure 46). This represents the largest number of navigation projects in any district of the Corps and it systematically provides a 9-foot minimum depth navigation pool depth. In the 1990s a new lock and dam project was built on the Monongahela River south of Pittsburgh. The project was Grays Landing Locks and Dam, and involved traditional cofferdam construction. Steel sheet piles, driven to rock, were used to form a series of interconnected coffer cells. Once completed, the inner cofferdam area was pumped dry. Excavation of alluvial sediments was carried down to “top of
bedrock.” At these variable depths, additional rock removal was continued in order to establish a foundation within competent bedrock. Once the final excavation was performed, concrete was placed on the prepared rock foundation.

Recent construction on the Monongahela River involved the 2004 completion of a new gated dam on the Monongahela River, known as Braddock Locks and Dam, located 11 miles upstream of Pittsburgh. This project employed innovative float-in construction techniques which involved two large precast segments set down on nearly 90 reinforced concrete drilled shafts embedded 16 feet into bedrock (Edwardo et al., 2002). In addition, construction is currently underway at Charleroi Locks and Dam, located 40 miles upstream of Pittsburgh, which will provide two new lock chambers 720-feet long by 84-feet wide.

**Rail Systems**

The regional topography, consisting of major rivers, steep hillsides and flat hilltops, has resulted in a unique transportation infrastructure in Pittsburgh that includes roads, tunnels, bridges, railroads, inclines, bike paths and stairways. Pittsburgh’s strategic location as a “Gateway to the West” resulted in use of the rivers as the primary transportation corridors, as they still are today.

When Pittsburgh was incorporated as a city in 1816, it was the major center for commerce in the west, and most travel from the east coast went through it. Around 1830, the commerce aspect of Pittsburgh’s economy was surpassed by its manufacturing base. To transport bulk goods, including coal, an economical and reliable mode of transportation was needed. The first rationally designed transportation network was the local railroad. This system was intended to transfer coal and goods to the industries within and surrounding Pittsburgh. Topography initially restricted population growth to the city and railroad corridor expansion to the river valleys. However, with the development of the abundant Pittsburgh Coal Seam, which resulted in newly established farm roads and communities in these mining areas, the railroad lines began following the contours of the nearly flat lying Pittsburgh Coal Seam. Bridge structures developed as the railroads required “jumping” from one hillside to another to be in close contact with the mining areas. Like all other railroads of that time, they relied on horses or mules for power. Not much faster than wagons or canal boats, other railroads of that time, they relied on horses or mules for power. Not much faster than wagons or canal boats, their main advantage was smooth-running rails.

The transition to the wide-spread interstate railroad system was a long battle. Pennsylvania had no urgent reason to invest in railroad technology until 1825, when the Erie Canal linked New York City’s ports to Midwest markets (Finch, 1925). Once the Erie Canal opened, shipping costs from New York to the Midwest dropped significantly, and the time it took to ship the goods was cut significantly. This greatly increased trade for New York City businesses while bypassing Pittsburgh and Philadelphia.

Shipping by water was still cheaper than by rail, but the railroads did have the advantage of traveling where rivers didn’t flow. A result was using trains and rivers together (Fleming, 1928). Started in 1834, the state-owned Main Line of Public Works used canal boats where possible on relatively level ground and a combination of gravity and stationary steam engines where necessary in the mountains (Baer, 1996). This patchwork of canals, railroads, and inclined planes offered a 3 to 4 day journey from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh. But it was soon ended by the cheaper, all-purpose, all-weather railroads. The interstate railroads entered the area in the 1850s. In 1852, the Ohio and Pennsylvania Railroad began service between Cleveland and Allegheny City (present-day North Side), and in 1854, the Pennsylvania Railroad began service between Pittsburgh and Philadelphia. An historical map of the Pittsburgh railroads is shown in Figure 47. A journey between Philadelphia and Pittsburgh now took only 13 hours. The Pennsylvania Railroad was the largest railroad in the world for much of its 121-year lifespan, absorbing many other railroads as it grew. It hauled more freight and passengers than any other railroad in the world during that time (Baer, 1996).

The railroad system in Pittsburgh flourished for many years. From the beginning of the industrial era through its collapse in the 1980s, Pittsburgh was always a key market for the nation’s largest and most important railroads. At one time, up to 22 railroads, including main lines and branches, entered Pittsburgh (Fleming, 1928). They comprised the lines of the Pennsylvania System, the New York Central Lines, the Baltimore and Ohio, the Buffalo, Rochester and Pittsburgh, the Pittsburgh, Bessemer and Lake Erie (the Carnegie Road), and the Wabash. However, with the coming of publicly funded highways and the availability of automobiles after World War II, railroads began a long downward slide. Despite the near collapse of heavy industry in the northeast, Pittsburgh still remains an important link in the nation’s rail network. Current railroads in Pittsburgh include: Norfolk Southern, CSX, Amtrak, Wheeling & Lake Erie and the Allegheny Valley Railroad.

Another rail system that once existed in Pittsburgh was the inter-city trolley car. It started in the late 1800s and early 1900s and followed both the farm roads lying at the ridge tops, the alignments of the railroad network, and many abandoned railroad corridors. They became most popular in the 1940s and 1950s as an economical mass transit solution for the expanding Pittsburgh (See Figure 48). A fleet of more than 600 trolleys were in use in 1948 (Bennear, 1995). The demise of the trolley was due to the speed and flexibility of gasoline powered buses. By the early 1970s, the fleet had dwindled to 95 cars and 4 lines. By 1985 almost all trolley rails were overlain by asphalt, with few cars and lines existing. Today, a light rail system in Pittsburgh known as the “T” has replaced remnants of the trolley lines. These lines run between downtown Pittsburgh and the South Hills suburbs. In town these lines
become Pittsburgh’s subway. The most recent addition included a tunnel under the Allegheny River to the north side of Pittsburgh as described in the section of this paper on tunnels.

**Inclines**

In the mid to late 1800s, the land on the floodplains within and surrounding Pittsburgh had become crowded by industrial and commercial development. Land for residential housing was available on the tops of the surrounding bluffs, such as on Mount Washington (Coal Hill), but traversing the 300 to 400 feet of elevation change was arduous. The answer to this situation was inclined railways or funiculars, which are referred to as inclines in the Pittsburgh area. The inclines are composed of two parallel sets of railway tracks with a car on each track. The cars are connected by a single cable that passes through a pulley at the top of the incline. The cars counterbalance one another so that the engine that moves the cars only needs to overcome the weight difference in the cars plus any frictional forces.

The first incline built in Pittsburgh, the Monongahela Incline, opened on May 28, 1870. From that time until the opening of the last incline in 1901, between 15 and 20 inclines were built in Pittsburgh (Old Pittsburgh Maps-Pittviewer, 2012). Most of the inclines were built to negotiate the steep bluffs on the south side of the Monongahela River but a few were built on the north side of the City to the north of the Allegheny River. Most of the inclines were built solely for passengers but some were built for freight. The Monongahela passenger incline had a companion freight incline that was built and remained in service until 1935.

The inclines fell out of use as personal vehicles became common, and most of them were closed during the first half of the twentieth century. Only two, the Monongahela and the Duquesne inclines, remain in operation. Both are located on the south side of the City.

The Monongahela incline is 635 feet long with a grade of 78 percent (38 degrees) and an elevation change of just over 367 feet (See Figure 49). It is owned and operated by

---

**Figure 48.** August 1964 photo taken along the P&WVRR tracks looking down at a Pittsburgh Railways inbound Shannon trolley. (Brookline Connection, 2014).
the Port Authority of Allegheny County and has been in constant operation since it was constructed. It has undergone major renovations and upgrades.

The Duquesne incline is located opposite the Point. It is 793 feet long with a grade of 58 percent (30 degrees) and an elevation change of 400 feet. The Society for the Preservation of the Duquesne Heights Incline raised money in 1963 to save the incline. It still has the same Victorian cars with the original woodwork. The engines have been converted from steam to electric power.

**Bridges**
(by Thomas Leech, P.E., Gannett Fleming, Inc.)

In Pittsburgh, bridges are all around us. Allegheny County, including the city of Pittsburgh, has over 2,000 bridges of varying types, materials and sizes. Some bridges are quite new; others are quite old. Some are distinct and magnificent; others are quite ordinary.

“There is something intensely dramatic and fanciful in

the appeal of the bridge to all classes of people, under all conditions of nature. All traffic converges and concentrates on the bridges. They become a daily necessity and a familiar benefactor, giving convenient passage over some natural obstruction.”

(Kidney, 1999).

Many bridges have seen a service life well over 100 years. Many replace one or even two earlier bridges at the same site. Each bridge records in its composition, in essence, a genetic code of its era of construction. This genetic code records both an engineering and architectural imprint of the age that it was built. All of these bridges, have been distinctly shaped by both the geography and geology of the area.

**River Crossings**

The Monongahela River (i.e. river with sliding banks – Delaware Native American) and the Allegheny River (i.e. river of the Alligewi - Delaware Native American) form the Ohio River (i.e. “the good river” - Seneca Native American) at the
“Point” in Pittsburgh (Bright, 2004). At present there are 30 river crossings in the city of Pittsburgh and another 29 river crossings in other communities within Allegheny County. Pittsburgh rivals other “bridge” cities of the world, including Paris with its 38 river crossings within the city proper, and Venice with its 409 bridges spanning 150 canals, but with only 4 bridges which cross the Grand Canal (Cridlebaugh, 2014).

As Pittsburgh emerged as a city in the early 1800s, the rivers were a formidable barrier to transportation. The first river crossings relied on geographic features such as fording the rivers by way of sand bar islands. These crossings were later replaced with ferry service near the fords. The locations of fords and subsequent ferries later became sites of the first river bridges constructed in Pittsburgh. The first established river crossing within Pittsburgh was the site of the present Smithfield Street Bridge over the Monongahela River, initially a river ford, which later was replaced with the nearby Jones Ferry (Cridlebaugh, 2014). The ferry service was subsequently replaced by a wooden covered bridge in 1818 that later was destroyed by the great fire of 1845 (Lorant, 1975). The present Smithfield Street Bridge, a third generation replacement bridge, is an elegant lenticular steel truss and an ASCE Civil Engineering Landmark. The present bridge was constructed in 1881 and is recognized as the oldest standing bridge in the city (Figure 50). The second established ferry, Robinson’s ferry, connected the North Side (previously Allegheny City) with downtown Pittsburgh, in close proximity to the present 6th Street Bridge. In 1819 the first span across the Allegheny River was a wooden covered bridge constructed at this site. It was ultimately replaced by the present third generation Sixth Street Bridge, a self-anchored suspension span, one of the 1928 Three Sisters Bridges, which are recognized as the only surviving eye bar chain suspension bridges in America (Figure 51). Quickly, transportation routes developed around these ferry crossings and the rivers of Pittsburgh now contain a myriad of bridges with unique structural form and complexity, all of which is a testament to Pittsburgh’s prominence as a historic center of Civil Engineering practice. The main spans of the river crossings range from 400 feet to 800 feet, consistent with navigation requirements, and typically the present 59 river crossings comprise variant steel superstructures, egalitarian trusses and plate girder bridges and visually appealing tied arch and suspension bridges.

As Pittsburgh grew to become an industrial power, the surface transportation routes shifted from town centric to bypass or through routes as the transportation routes ultimately shifted to interstate corridors, presently converging at the “Point” in Pittsburgh. Three generations of bridges have spanned the Monongahela River at the “Point,” including the 1875 Point suspension bridge, the 1927 steel cantilever truss and the current 1959 Fort Pitt (I-279 / I-376) steel double deck tied arch. Three generations of bridges also have spanned the Allegheny River at the “Point,” including the 1874 Union, wooden covered bridge, the 1915 two span steel trussed Manchester Bridge, and the current 1969 Fort Duquesne (I-279) steel double deck tied arch.

The transportation networks within Pittsburgh and the surrounding communities in Allegheny County required an array of valley crossings that are supported by nearly 2,000 bridge structures. Many of the valleys are quite steep sided and many interesting structures were designed with heights as much as 200 feet above the valley floors and spans reach-
ing 300 feet and more. Structural forms include routine steel and concrete girders, steel box girders, steel trusses, steel viaducts, and high level steel plate girders. Additionally, with competent bedrock so close to ground surface even in the steepest of valley settings, there is ample opportunity to build structures which rely on lateral thrust principles. A wide variety of steel rigid frame, steel high level arch and concrete high level arch bridges can be found in the Pittsburgh region. An example of a concrete high level arch is the George Westinghouse Bridge (Figure 52).

Tunnels

Western Pennsylvania has a place in tunnel history. The first railroad tunnel in the United States was the Staple Bend Tunnel, which is located about 60 miles east of Pittsburgh along the Conemaugh River near Johnstown, Pennsylvania (National Park Service, 2013). It was excavated between 1831 and 1833 as part of the Allegheny Portage Railroad, which was part of the Pennsylvania Canal that connected Philadelphia to Pittsburgh. The same Pennsylvania Canal also had a tunnel under downtown Pittsburgh. It is located under Grant’s Hill, which is now Grant Street in the downtown area. The tunnel still exists, but is sealed (See Figure 45). The PA Canal Tunnel, which was constructed between 1827 and 1830, is considered Pittsburgh’s oldest transportation tunnel.

Today Pittsburgh has 11 tunnels according to the Pittsburgh Bridges and Tunnels website (Cridlebaugh, 2014). See Figure 53 for the tunnel locations.

From Pittsburgh to the east the tunnels include:

1) Panhandle Railroad Tunnel – Under Grant’s Hill in Downtown Pittsburgh in rock belonging to the Casselman Formation of the Conemaugh Group – now sealed.

2) Armstrong Tunnel – An automobile tunnel under Duquesne University on the Bluff just east of downtown Pittsburgh in rock of the Casselman Formation from the Conemaugh Group. It is a prominent tunnel in Pittsburgh known mostly for the approximate 45 degree bend. It was built in 1926-27, with a length of approximately 1,320 feet. The bend was created to avoid possible mines, some property rights (including Duquesne University), and to connect alignments with existing or proposed roads.

3) LTV South Side Works Railroad Tunnel – Owned by CSX and is a cut/cover tunnel with cut stone side walls and a steel beam ceiling located under the South Side Section of Pittsburgh.

4) Neville Street Tunnel (or Schenley Railroad Tunnel) – Used by CSX and is a cut/cover tunnel located in the Oakland Section of Pittsburgh about 70 feet below grade of Neville Street.

5) Squirrel Hill Tunnel – An automobile tunnel under the Squirrel Hill Section of Pittsburgh through rock of the Casselman Formation from the Conemaugh Group.

From Pittsburgh to the west the tunnels include:

6) Corliss Street Tunnel – An automobile tunnel through the Norfolk Southern Railroad embankment, located in the West End Section of Pittsburgh.

7) Fort Pitt Tunnel – An automobile tunnel through Mount Washington in rock of the Casselman Formation from the Conemaugh Group.


9) Mt. Washington Transit Tunnel – Port Authority “T” and South Busway, through Mount Washington, built in 1904 with a concrete lined vertical wall horseshoe profile, was excavated through rock of the Casselman Formation from the Conemaugh Group, with an approximate length of 3,500 feet.

10) Port Authority North Shore Connector – Under the Allegheny River between downtown Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh’s North Shore. It is the latest tunnel constructed in Pittsburgh as part of the “T” and subway system. The construction was completed in 2012, with a total length of approximately 1.2 miles. It includes elevated structures and cut and cover construction on the two ends. A tunnel boring machine was used for the twin tunnels under the river with about 20 to 25 feet of rock cover at its maximum depth through rock of the Glenshaw Formation from the Conemaugh Group. Digging through glacial/flu-
vial gravel and rock, the working face was stabilized with a pressurized bentonite-water slurry. The excavated material was transported by the slurry through pipes back to a separation plant above ground where sand and gravel were separated from the slurry.

11) Liberty Tunnel – An automobile tunnel through Mount Washington in rock of the Casselman Formation from the Conemaugh Group.

Much of the heaviest automobile traffic is associated with the tunnels, which is ironic because these structures were supposed to reduce driving time. Notable tunnels of the area include the Liberty Tunnel, which connects the south suburbs to the city and the two interstate I-376 highway tunnels (Squirrel Hill and Fort Pitt), which connect the east and west suburbs to the city.

Mount Washington is nearly 400 feet high along the length of Pittsburgh’s downtown area, and posed a barrier to the development of the South Hills. In order to provide access, the Liberty Tunnel, which is considered to be the first modern automobile tunnel in the United States, was excavated through Mount Washington. It consists of twin concrete lined tunnels in a vertical wall horseshoe profile. The county began construction of the tunnel in the winter of 1919, and the excavation was completed in July 1922. The rock excavated was mostly “green” and “red” claystone and soft laminated sandstone of the Casselman Formation from the Conemaugh Group, with a minor amount of more competent “blue” sandstone (Public Works, 1921). Most of the excavation was considered treacherous due to the poor condition of the soft rock. The tunnels are 5,889 feet long, 28.6 feet wide and 20.8 feet clearance in the arch portion of the tun-

Figure 53. *Tunnel Locations in Pittsburgh* (Map courtesy of John Harper, PA Geological Survey).
nels, with a 14.5 feet vertical entrance clearance. It opened in 1924 with restricted use until the ventilation system was completed in 1925. The tunnel was owned by Allegheny County and was transferred to the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT).

Construction of the Squirrel Hill Tunnel was started prior to the Second World War, and was delayed until after the war and was completed in 1953. Figure 54 shows the tunnel excavation. It is the principal highway route from the eastern suburbs of Pittsburgh into the city. The cost to construct the tunnel was $18 million and was the most costly project by the State Highways Department at that time. The tunnel consists of twin arch-shaped reinforced concrete bores that are 4,225 feet long, approximately 29 feet wide, with a ceiling height of 13.5 feet. Vertical clearances are changing with the current rehabilitation project. The tunnel design was based on subsurface evaluations made from conventional borings which revealed rather poor quality rock would be encountered in excavating the tunnels. To adequately support the conditions, permanent steel supports were installed as the tunnel lining, and grout was placed outside of that lining. The grout was used to impregnate, strengthen, and seal the weak and shattered rock adjacent to the tunnel (PennDOT District 11 website, 2014 summary update).

The Fort Pitt Tunnel goes through Mount Washington, formerly Coal Hill. It is unique in that on the downtown side of the tunnel, the outbound portal is lower than the inbound portal. The downtown portals are vertically offset to accommodate traffic of the stacked deck from the Fort Pitt Bridge while the westbound portals are at the same elevation. The Fort Pitt Tunnel is similar in design to the Squirrel Hill Tunnel. Construction of the Fort Pitt Tunnel started in 1957 and was completed in 1960 by the PA Department of Highways at a cost of $17 million. The total length of the tunnels is 3,614 feet, with an estimated opening of each portal at 28 feet wide with a ceiling height of 13.5 feet. The Fort Pitt Tunnel is regarded as the “best way to enter an American city,” because motorists emerging from the tunnel are suddenly presented with a dramatic view of Pittsburgh (Lorant, 1964).

MAJOR ENGINEERING STRUCTURES

Foundations

The topography and geology of Pittsburgh result in many foundation types being used to support structures. The type and size of structure, site-specific conditions,
Figure 55. *Fountain at Point State Park* (Photo courtesy of Linda Kaplan, Gannett Fleming, Inc.).
local practice, and the designer’s preference may influence the type of foundation selected as much as geology. There are areas where special foundation problems such as soft soils, subsidence due to mining, expansive shale and landslides exist. In general, residual soils throughout Pittsburgh are adequate to support the foundations of homes and light-commercial buildings. For heavy foundation loads, rock normally provides adequate support, except where deeply weathered. Some local claystones and shales slake or dry out when exposed to the atmosphere and require immediate covering to avoid further deterioration and additional excavation. Pile driving can shatter these shales and claystones, and piles may have to be re-driven several times before deeper competent rock is encountered. A foundation designer must consider both surface and underground mining as potential sites for differential settlement, subsidence, slope instability, mine and refuse fires, acidic soil, rock and water. Shales should be considered a foundation problem until their potential for heaving is determined.

The alluvial soils in the Monongahela River drainage are generally soft and large structures normally require foundations extending to or into rock to avoid excessive settlements. The glacial gravels in the Allegheny and Ohio River Valleys are generally dense and can carry significant foundation loads with only minor settlement. These dense glacial sand and gravel deposits occur in downtown Pittsburgh. In the area between the rivers, the contours of the top of rock rise away from the rivers, and the sand and gravel deposit ends around Smithfield Street between Fourth and Sixth Avenues, as shown in Figure 20 (Van Tuyl, 1951).

A variety of foundation types have been used to support buildings on the dense glacial gravel in downtown Pittsburgh. They include spread footings, a mat foundation and friction piles. However, the three Gateway office buildings adjacent to Point State Park are an anomaly in that they are supported on H-Piles driven through the glacial gravels to rock. Where the dense glacial gravel is not present, east of Smithfield Street, buildings are generally supported by spread footings or drilled piers bearing on rock.

In recent years, larger scale projects including the Braddock Dam, the Consol Hockey Arena, the U.P.M.C. East hospital and the Pennsylvania Turnpike Bridge crossing the Allegheny River have been constructed. The size of the projects justified the use of Osterberg Load Cell Tests to determine the bearing and side shear properties for optimizing the design of drilled piers in rock.

Some sites and projects of interest are described as follows:

**Point State Park**

Point State Park comprises 36 acres at the confluence of the Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers. The park recognizes Pittsburgh’s past and present, including the strategic importance and historic role the Pittsburgh Point had in the development of the United States. The Ohio River afforded great influence over more than 200,000 square miles of undeveloped territory downstream of the Point. In the early 1800s swarms of settlers moved through Pittsburgh on their way west. Traffic down the Ohio River reached a volume of more than 1,000 boats a year leaving Pittsburgh, with 20,000 people and more than 12,000 head of livestock, wagons, provisions and household goods.

Following capture of the French Fort Duquesne in 1758, the English proceeded to construct the most impressive fort on the American Frontier, Fort Pitt. Point State Park includes parts of the Fort Pitt Bastions, and the original Fort Pitt Block House Built in 1764. The Fort Pitt Blockhouse is the oldest architectural landmark in Pittsburgh and is the nation’s only authenticated pre-Revolutionary War structure west of the Appalachian Mountains (Pennsylvania Department of Natural Resources, 2015). Much of the structure is intact, including the stone foundation, brick, and timber elements that are largely original to its 1764 construction. In addition, the Park contains a fountain, dedicated in 1974, said to be the largest in the United States, which propels water upwards approximately 200 feet (Figure 55). The 73,000 gallons of water in the closed-loop system are drawn from glacial gravels 50 feet beneath the Point (Compressed Air Magazine, 1974).

**U.S. Steel Building**

The U.S. Steel Building, also known as the U.S. Steel Tower, is a 64 story (841.0 feet high) skyscraper located on Grant Street in downtown Pittsburgh. Construction started in March 1967, and was completed September 30, 1971. At 841 feet, the U.S. Steel Building was the tallest building between New York and Chicago until 1987. The building site occupies a portion of Grant’s Hill, a prominent feature in the early history of Pittsburgh. In September 1758, Major James Grant led an advance column of 800 men of British General John Forbes’ army against Fort Duquesne. The British force was repelled on a hill east of the Point with 342 men killed, wounded or captured. Major Grant was captured, but paroled soon after. When General Forbes occupied the abandoned Fort Duquesne on November 25, 1758, the nearby site of the battle was named Grant’s Hill (Pittsburgh, 2008).

Grant’s Hill was leveled on several occasions, a total of approximately twenty feet. Excavation for the U.S. Steel Building foundation excavation extended into rock and the final foundation for the structure was placed on bedrock.

The excavation uncovered two tunnels which had been constructed through Grant’s Hill (Figure 45). One was an 810 foot Pennsylvania Canal tunnel constructed in 1834. The second was the Pittsburgh and Steubenville Extension Railroad tunnel. This railroad was a link between the Pennsylvania Railroad’s western terminus and the eastern terminus of the Steubenville Railroad Company. When this rail link opened in 1865, it extended the Pennsylvania Railroad’s trade and transportation network into Ohio, as far...
as Columbus. This tunnel was built using cut-and-cover. A trench, approximately thirty-five feet wide, was excavated from the ground surface to elevation 780 feet and the tunnel was constructed within the trench, then the excavation was backfilled. The average height of the tunnel side walls was eighteen feet. A five-course brick arch was supported on the walls. The railroad tunnel was rehabilitated to serve as an underground right-of-way and station area (Midtown Station) for the Light Rail Transit Subway (HAER, 1985).

During the 1965-67 construction of the U.S. Steel Building, a new single track tunnel, measuring 409 feet long and 17.4 feet wide, was built within the subterranean levels of the building as part of Pittsburgh’s Light Rail Subway. The support systems for the tunnel and the building were designed to be independent of each other, so that train vibrations would not disturb the building’s structural integrity and the weight of the building would not bear on the tunnel. The U.S. Steel Building tunnel begins 1,029.6 feet from the south portal, is rectilinear in design, and has two safety bays measuring one foot deep and approximately five feet wide.

The U.S. Steel Building made history by being the first to use liquid-filled fireproofed columns. U.S. Steel deliberately placed the massive steel columns on the exterior of the building to showcase a new product called Cor-ten steel. Cor-ten resists the corrosive effects of rain, snow, ice, fog, and other meteorological conditions by forming a coating of dark brown oxidation over the metal, which inhibits deeper penetration and doesn’t need painting and costly rust-prevention maintenance over the years. The initial weathering of the material resulted in a discoloration of the surrounding city sidewalks, as well as other nearby buildings. A cleanup effort was conducted by the corporation once weathering was complete to undo this damage, but the sidewalks still have a decidedly rusty tinge. The Cor-Ten steel for the building was made at the former U.S. Steel Homestead Works. The building contains over 44,000 tons of structural steel (U.S. Steel Tower, 2015).

Subway

Pittsburgh’s subway system was constructed in the early 1980s. The project’s goal was to upgrade the city’s streetcar lines into a modern 10.5 mile long light rail transit (LRT) system with two connecting exclusive bus roadways. Most of the rail system is in the suburbs south of the Monongahela River and is almost entirely on nonexclusive right of way at grade. After crossing the river into downtown Pittsburgh the transit line dives into a 1.1 mile long Y-shaped subway layout consisting of new and renovated two-track tunnels. This portion of the project accounted for only about one seventh of the project’s $480 million cost.

The Port Authority of Allegheny County held the cost down by purchasing an old railroad bridge across the river along with a tunnel that ran north across the city. New subway work, all cut-and-cover, included building the large Midtown Station at the intersection of the subway Y and a line running east through Wood Street Station and terminating at Gateway Center.

One of the most challenging sections was the Wood Street Station, extending out below storage vaults under the sidewalks to adjacent building lines. The Hayward Baker Co. conducted the work and it represented the largest chemical grouting job ever performed in the U.S. to that date (Karol, 2003). This $2.5 million job was a showcase for nondestructive testing. Work was monitored by the cross-hole seismic method. Hayward Baker injected a 13,000 sq-ft area beneath Sixth Avenue with 1 million gallons of chemical grout, turning the sand and gravel into a solid matrix that was excavated without danger while shoring up six adjacent buildings. The grout consisted of a proprietary formulation of sodium silicate and a number of reactants. The subway was completed in late 1984.

The North Shore Connector is a light-rail extension that opened in 2012. The connector extends the Pittsburgh Light Rail system from its previous terminus at Gateway Center Station in the Central Business District to the new North Side Station and Allegheny Station on the North Shore by way of a tunnel under the Allegheny River.

The North Shore neighborhood of Pittsburgh evolved from a “sea of asphalt” in the 1990s to a bustling extension of the central business district reflecting approximately one billion dollars of investment and construction in the first decade of the 2000s (Schmitz, 2010; O’Neill, 2008). The North Shore Connector links Pittsburgh’s previously existing light rail network to the new businesses and attractions of the North Shore, serving commuters, visitors, and sports event attendees alike (Fontaine, 2012).

The North Side Station serves PNC Park (1.75 million annual baseball fans) and the Community College of Allegheny County (7,200 students). The Allegheny Station serves residents in Allegheny West and Manchester, as well as visitors to Heinz Field (500,000 annual Steeler fans, excluding concerts), the Carnegie Science Center (700,000 annual visitors), Children’s Museum of Pittsburgh (250,000 annual visitors) and the Rivers Casino (Port Authority, North Shore Connector, 2015). During weekdays, downtown-destined vehicle commuters utilize the Connector by parking in one of the many North Shore parking facilities and completing their commute on the Connector (Shumway, 2012). The North Shore lacks the parking capacity to serve additional sports fans, so that the North Shore Connector helps alleviate the congestion by making it easier for fans to park Downtown and travel to the North Shore Stadium (Lord, 2010).

The new subway section was constructed by cut and cover from the Gateway Center, 400 feet to the Stanwix Street receiving pit. The subway construction consisted of twin bored tunnels, 22 feet in diameter from the Stanwix Street receiving pit to the West General Robinson launch pit, a length of 2,240 feet including 875 feet beneath the Al-
From the General Robinson Street launch pit, the subway was constructed by cut and cover for a distance of 1,200 feet. From this north portal the line is elevated for 2,000 feet to Allegheny Station. Figure 56 shows a map of the tunnel alignment and Pittsburgh’s subway stations.

The top of the twin tunnels lies 20-25 feet below the river bed. The German tunnel boring machine (TBM) assembly began in November 2007. The TBM, measuring 200 feet long and weighing 500 tons, was lowered into a 55-foot-deep launch pit excavated near the intersection of West General Robinson Street and Mazeroski Way near PNC Park. The TBM began work in January 2008 (Wargo et al., 2009).

In July 10, 2008, the TBM holed through into the receiving pit at Stanwix Street near Penn Avenue downtown. The machine was hoisted by crane, turned around and began digging the second parallel tunnel September 3, arriving back at the North Side launch pit January 15, 2009. Completing the second tunnel in 4.5 months showed the benefit from experiences gained; the second tunnel was a full month faster than the first.

The laser-guided, slurry pressure balanced, mixed shield TBM had a 22-foot diameter rotating head (typically 1 RPM), featuring 17-inch cutters, driven by electric motors. Digging through glacial/fluvial gravel and rock, the working face was stabilized with a pressurized bentonite-water slurry; the excavated material was transported by the clay slurry through pipes back to a separation plant above ground. Excavated sand and gravel were separated from the slurry, allowing the slurry to be reused and the other materials to be reserved for future use elsewhere. The TBM’s cutting face had a diameter that was one inch larger than the rest of the machine. This small annulus reduced side friction of the TBM shield, enabling it to move more easily, assisting in steering the machine, and thus controlling alignment (Wargo et al., 2009). The TBM was generally operated in two 12-hour shifts, five days a week, averaging 34 feet per day. As the front of the TBM cut, a steel shield in the trailing section held the cavity open and 4-foot-wide, precast concrete segments were bolted together to form the tunnel liner (seven segments complete the circumference of a given ring). The TBM then used hydraulic legs to push off the placed concrete rings as it moved forward. The complete mining assembly measured approximately 150 feet from the...
cutter head to the end of the trailing gantry system.

Paralleling the western side of Mazeroski Way, the 2,240 feet TBM section of the tunnel passes below the Equitable Resources building. The tunnel descends on a 6.6 percent grade from the North Shore to a depth of 69 feet (25 feet river depth, 22 feet further to top of 22-foot diameter tunnel bore). Below the Allegheny River, the path turns left then right, about 45 degrees each time, to align with Stanwix Street. The tunnel ascends a 7.6 percent grade to arrive at the Gateway station. Figure 57 shows a photograph of the completed North Shore Connector tunnel.

The key challenges of the North Shore Connector project included threading the tunnels through pile supported foundation of a downtown Pittsburgh landmark building; passing under the 25 foot deep Allegheny River and tunneling beneath a busy downtown street adjacent to Penn Avenue Place, an historically important building founded on spread footings. In addition, controlling ground movement to mitigate the potential for damage to buildings was of paramount importance (Wargo et al., 2009).

The North Shore Connectors original budget was estimated at $350 Million. The final cost was $523.4 million. (Schmitz, 2010.)

Dams

The Ohio River Flood Board established by the Federal Government in 1912, examined many strategies for managing stream flow within the Ohio River basin in terms of flood control, navigation, power, irrigation, and other possible uses. As a result of intense lobbying by the Flood Board, and with financial cooperation from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the Pittsburgh District Army Corps of Engineers (Pittsburgh District) completed its first comprehensive River Basin Report in 1935. The report proposed a series of dams that would create reservoirs in the headwaters of the Ohio River basin. This report represented the complete commitment by the Pittsburgh District to the concept of dams utilized for multipurpose water resource development in addition to flood control (Johnson, 1978). Multipurpose projects can include a combination of flood control, water flow for reliable navigation, water quality, recreation and hydropower generation.

Historic flooding has been common in the Pittsburgh region. Towards noon on St. Patrick’s Day in 1936, waters began to fill the valleys in Johnstown, Pennsylvania. Much like the devastating flood of 1889, the narrow, natural, topographic channels of Stoney Creek and the Little Conemaugh

Figure 57. Interior of the completed North Shore Connector Tunnel.
River were incapable of passing much of the rising volume of flood waters through the City of Johnstown resulting in major flooding. “A scene of inconceivable desolation, following devastation by a flood that rivaled the deluge caused by the historic dam break in 1889” was cited by a reporter from Engineering News-Record in his description of Johnstown after the flood (Johnson, 1978). The floodwater surged downstream to Pittsburgh, where water filled the downtown and many residents took to boats to navigate the city streets (See Figure 58). The rivers crested at 46 feet, which is 30 feet above normal river stage in Pittsburgh, on March 18, 1936. This flooding surpassed prior record stages by more than 5 feet, and resulted in flooding of 62 percent of the downtown “Golden Triangle” area of the city.

It became clear following the March 1936 flood that a series of dams and reservoirs were needed to protect the city from a real and recurring topographically driven flood threat. Congress passed the federal Flood Water Control Act of 1936, authorizing and funding these secondary flood control structures, including dams and levees, mostly located on tributaries to the three major rivers. Several of the dams built in the Upper Ohio Basin that protect Pittsburgh today were authorized by this Act. One of the most significant retention structures is Kinzua Dam, located on the Allegheny River near Warren, PA. Other flood control structures authorized by this Federal Act include Tionesta Dam, Crooked Creek Dam, Conemaugh Dam and Loyalhanna Dam, all located in the Allegheny River basin above Pittsburgh. In addition, Youghiogheny Dam, located on a tributary to the Monongahela River, was also authorized.

Tygart Dam, which also protects Pittsburgh, was under construction by the Pittsburgh District prior to the Flood Control Act of 1936. It is located on the Tygart River, a tributary to the Monongahela River, at Grafton, West Virginia, and was completed in 1938 at cost of $18.5 million dollars. At the time it was built, Tygart Dam was the highest concrete gravity dam east of the Mississippi River. Tygart Dam is a multipurpose project which provided significant flood and flow control to areas downstream, including Morgantown, West Virginia and ultimately Pittsburgh. Tygart Dam, in addition to the five dams built in response to the Act, formed the mainstay of comprehensive surface water management by the Pittsburgh District in the upper Ohio River basin.

Eventually the total number of dam projects constructed and operated by the Corps of Engineers, Pittsburgh District reached the current level of 16. The project which forms the largest single reservoir in the basin, at a length of over 26 miles, is Kinzua Dam, located on the Allegheny River near the Pennsylvania – New York border. Kinzua Dam is a combination concrete gravity and earth-rock fill dam and is what many believe to be one of Dr. Shailer Philbrick’s finest foundation designs. Dr. Philbrick was the Pittsburgh District Geologist for the design and construction of this dam. The original siting of the dam axis was more than a mile upstream from its present day location. Due to the considerable depth to sound bedrock (silt-shale), the original design called for a rather deep excavation with cofferdam construction and construction of a concrete gravity dam. Dr. Philbrick conducted a detailed field investigation which included studying the glacial history of the valley and then planning and conducting an extensive program of core borings and geophysical surveys in reaches downstream of the originally selected site. With these data in hand, he proposed an alternate location for the construction of the dam axis, that tailored the dam design to the site geologic conditions at a reduced cost. The design modification was accomplished by constructing a concrete gravity dam section where bedrock was shallow on the left side of the valley, and an earth-rock fill embankment on the right side of the valley where bedrock was much deeper. The concrete gravity dam was cast in progressive monolithic sections, so as to permit river flow to continue throughout construction. The embankment was constructed on alluvial soils with an upstream clay-soil blanket. The clay blanket was subsequently tied into a concrete cut-off wall taken to significant depths within the river valley alluvium. This was the first slurry cutoff wall constructed for a dam in the United States (Leggett and Karrow, 1983). The earth-rock embankment has a wrap-around section that ties it into the concrete gravity section of the dam. Construction of the dam was completed in 1965. The project has a pumped storage hydropower unit that is operated by a private utility in cooperation with the Pittsburgh District. The primary purpose of the project is flood mitigation, but the other uses including water supply, recreation, and hydropower are carefully balanced to optimize the use of the available water. The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Pittsburgh Section bestowed its Outstanding Civil Engineering Award on the project, recognizing its innovation. The optimized foundation design saved several million dollars. Dr. Philbrick received the Association of Environmental & Engineering Geologists (AEG) Claire P. Holdredge Award.
in 1977 for his seminal paper “Kinzua Dam and the Glacial Foreland” (Philbrick, 1976). Two Honorary Members of AEG, Dr. Shailer Philbrick and Harry Ferguson, a coworker and successor as District Geologist, were instrumental in developing efficient foundation designs for most of the flood control dams located within the Pittsburgh District.

History of Cofferdam Construction

Cofferdams have a long history of use in the Pittsburgh region, in particular for concrete gravity dams and for the construction of navigation locks and dams founded on bedrock. The earliest local cofferdams date back to 1878, with Federal Government construction of the Davis Island Lock and Dam, the first navigation project to be constructed on the Ohio River. Davis Island is located immediately downstream of Pittsburgh. Between 1878, when construction began, and 1885 when completed, the Davis Island lock and dam project incorporated seven very rudimentary wooden but successful cofferdams. O’Bannon (2009) suggests that the cofferdams were designed and constructed in conformance with principles outlined in the book: An Elementary Course of Civil Engineering, for Use of the Cadets of the United States Military Academy (Mahan, 1837).

Cofferdam construction continued on the three rivers from the late 1800s to late 1900s and eventually transitioned from wood to steel sheetpile. From a geological standpoint, from the late 1800s to late 1900s and eventually transitioned slaking (in the case of fine grained argillaceous bedrock). As a means to avoid any deterioration by air or water, dam base concrete on the prepared surface as soon as practical, and treat rock defects with dental concrete, and then to cast centered. Once uncovered, standard practice was to clean the excavated rock with brushes and high pressure water jets, clean and treat rock defects with dental concrete, and then to cast dam base concrete on the prepared surface as soon as practical, as a means to avoid any deterioration by air or water slaking (in the case of fine grained argillaceous bedrock).

In the later 1990s a highly unique cofferdam was built on the Monongahela River near the Pennsylvania / West Virginia border. The old existing navigation lock at Point Marion, PA, built in 1926, had exceeded its design life. A new, larger lock was needed to improve both structure reliability and to ensure continuous river passage. The challenge for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was to build a larger lock chamber (84 feet by 720 feet) on the landward side of the older existing lock chamber (56 feet by 360 feet). Construction of a lock landward of an existing lock had only been attempted once before in the United States, in 1961, at General Joe Wheeler Lock and Dam on the Tennessee River in northern Alabama. Construction at the General Joe Wheeler Lock and Dam met with disaster when, during excavation for the new lock, the land wall of the existing lock slid into the excavation. This resulted in loss of life and closure of the river to navigation for several years. The sliding failure was determined to be related to weak clay shale seams in the underlying limestone, a condition which had not been identified during the site investigation (Terzaghi, 1962).

The Pittsburgh District undertook similar construction at Point Marion Lock and Dam with the experience of Wheeler Lock in mind. Construction of the new lock chamber had to be accomplished while keeping the existing lock chamber in service to accommodate on-going river navigation (Greene et al., 1993). Three rows of high capacity rock anchors were installed through the landward wall of the existing lock so that the wall could be incorporated as a portion of the cofferdam for the new lock. Nearly 500 rock anchors were installed in the landward wall of the old lock and anchored into the underlying claystones, siltstones and sandstones. One row of vertical anchors was installed prior to excavation and two rows of inclined anchors were placed as the excavation was carried two lifts deeper (See Figure 59). A large portion of the landwall foundation of the existing lock was on claystone, and located only 8 feet from the excavation for the new lock. Therefore, it was of the utmost importance that the sliding and overturning stability of the existing wall be improved (Greene et al., 1993). An extensive instrumentation program was installed to monitor movements and water levels; the program included shear strips, inclinometers, piezometers, and load cells placed on selected inclined rock anchors. The new lock was completed in the early 1990s, and in 1994, the ASCE Pittsburgh Section awarded Point Marion Lock and Dam the Outstanding Civil Engineering Achievement Award. This distinction was primarily due to the unique cofferdam design and construction.

Post Cofferdam In-the-Wet Construction

In the late 1990s, replacement of the 100 year-old Braddock Dam became necessary. Braddock Dam was part of the Braddock Locks and Dam navigation project, located only 12 miles upstream from Pittsburgh, and is the first lock and dam on the Monongahela River. Braddock Dam introduced a new type of in-river construction that did not employ the use of cofferdams. This project represented innovation and was a major departure from the proven methods that had been used for several decades. The Braddock Dam employed “float-in”
or “in-the-wet construction”. The project began in 1999 and was completed in 2004. It represented the first time in the history of an inland navigation system that a concrete dam had been floated into place (Edwardo et al., 2002).

As opposed to traditional “in-the-dry” methods of cofferdam construction, the “in-the-wet” method permitted drilled shaft foundations to be built at the site while the two dam segments, which were composed of a combination of precast concrete panels and conventional concrete, were fabricated at an offsite casting basin located downstream of Pittsburgh. Eighty-nine reinforced concrete drilled shafts were installed within the footprint of the dam. Each shaft was 78 inches in diameter and 40 feet long, which included a 15-20 foot long drilled rock socket. Approximately twenty percent of the drilled shafts were affixed with circular form, hydraulic flat jacks, which were subsequently used to level the segments of the dam. Once the drilled shafts were completed the concrete segments were floated upriver, passing through three locks, to the location of the new dam site (Edwardo et al., 2002).

Segment 1 was a 11,600 ton, 330 foot long by 104 foot wide concrete section of the dam (See Figure 60). The segment was lowered onto the drilled shaft foundations by filling the structure with water and sinking it. The segment-shaft connections were grouted under water and the interior of the segment was filled with tremie concrete, thus displacing the water. A neat cement grout was used to fill the one-foot void that existed between the base of the dam and a pre-placed graded gravel base under the foot print of the dam. Steel sheet piles (Z-type) driven to rock at both the upstream and downstream limits of the dam served as an additional barrier to prevent seepage under the dam.

Segment 2, which measured 265 feet by 104 feet and weighed 9,000 tons, was installed in the same manner as Segment 1.

To complete the Braddock dam project, the existing 100 year old fixed crest dam, located approximately 600 feet downstream, was completely removed to the riverbed and the demolished concrete used for creation of underwater simulated reefs to promote fish habitat. Another environmental aspect of the project was that the dredged material from the footprint of the new dam was tested and found to be suitable for riverside disposal. Some 400,000 cubic yards of dredged material provided cover for the restoration of a nearby Brownfield site (an abandoned steel mill property). A photograph of the completed Braddock Dam is shown in Figure 61.

**UNDERGROUND STORAGE**

For over a century there has been underground dimension-stone mining of the Vanport Limestone, near Pittsburgh. A number of these abandoned room-and-pillar limestone quarry mines are now used for office space, records storage, vehicle and RV storage, growing mushrooms, manufacture of precision telescope lenses, and even the filming of mov-
ies (Kochanov and Bragonier, 2005).

The second largest employer in Butler County is the Boyers underground mine-storage facility, which is located approximately 40 miles north of Pittsburgh. This facility contains offices for six different agencies including the Office of Personnel Management, Social Security Administration, and The Smithsonian Institution, with a combined on-site payroll of some 3,000 Federal employees. In addition, this underground facility houses a private sector record storage firm.

The world’s largest underground mushroom growing facility is in Worthington, Pennsylvania, which is located about 35 miles northeast of Pittsburgh. This re-purposed former limestone quarry mine features a controlled entry and egress at more than 300 feet below the ground surface and has been stabilized and improved for production for as far as three-quarters of a mile in from the entry portal. The entire original mined area consists of about 150-miles of through-pillar passageways that had been created by the termination of the rock production more than 75 years ago. The mine environment, with its constant cool temperature (62 °F) and high humidity, is ideal for growing mushrooms.

The Wampum Mine facility, which is also located north of Pittsburgh, is currently used for records storage and was the site for filming portions of the movie, “The Zombies” (Kochanov and Bragonier, 2005). The mine had been used during the early years of the Atomic Age to store nuclear materials. The most unique use of the mine occurred in the late 1990s, when a telescope mirror, which at that time was the world’s largest single-piece optical element, was manufactured within the Wampum mine. The mirror blank was initially fabricated by Corning, Inc., and measured over 27 feet in diameter and was about nine inches thick. A Pittsburgh firm, Contraves, converted a portion of the Wampum mine into an optical fabrication facility where the mirror was ground, polished and tested. The mirror was finished in 1997 and was installed in a telescope at the Mauna Kea Observatory in Hawaii.
ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

Abandoned Mine Lands

One unintended and poorly considered legacy of the mining of the abundant coal resources in the Pittsburgh region are the mining related problems that remain, problems that are generally referred to as abandoned mine lands or AML. Included in AML problems are mine subsidence; unfilled or improperly filled shafts, slopes and drifts; mine and spoil pile (culm bank) fires; unstable slopes; gas problems stemming from methane, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide or hydrogen sulfide; and acid mine drainage.

The coals were mined nearly everywhere in the Pittsburgh region and now the AML problems are found nearly everywhere as well. The shallow depth to the Pittsburgh Coal, its significant thickness and the early mining methods and laws, came together to create an almost ideal environment for mine subsidence. Much of the area to the east and south of the city are underlain by shallow, abandoned room and pillar mining where the overburden thickness is less than 100 feet and often less than 50 feet. A engineering based study of subsidence over the Pittsburgh Coal (Gray et al., 1977) that was completed in 1976 determined that 251 of the 352 documented incidents of subsidence (about 71%) occurred in Allegheny County. This was attributed in part to the area being one of the earliest undermined and also to being one of the most densely populated sectors of the region.

There are over 34,000 documented AML features in the state and 296 documented AML sites in Allegheny County alone. Figure 62 shows that the current number of AML sites by county in Pennsylvania. As can be seen from Figure 62, the problem is extensive and the number of AML sites for all of the surrounding mined counties is similar. Figure 63 shows the distribution of individual AML sites in Allegheny County. The Federal Office of Surface Mining had defined three priority levels for pre-law AML sites under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). They initiated an inventory of priority 1 (P1) and priority 2 (P2) AML sites which are the sites that are counted and are shown on Figure 62 and now the State maintains it (PA DEP, AML). Those sites are generally defined as requiring reclamation to protect the public health and safety from extreme danger of the adverse effects (P1) or just from the adverse effects (P2) of coal mining practices. Priority 3, the sites requiring restoration of land and water resources because of environmental degradation previously caused by the adverse effects of coal mining, are generally not included in the AML inventory list. These sites, which include mine water discharges, abandoned surface mines and abandoned mine spoil dumps that are not included within P1 and P2 sites, are considered to have a very low priority for reclamation even though they are as ubiquitous as the P1 and P2 sites.

Figure 62. AML Sites in Pennsylvania by County (PADEP 2013).
Figure 63. AML Inventory in Allegheny County (PADEP 2013).
Hydrofracturing Fluids Associated with Natural Gas

In recent years there has been a boom in the exploration and production of natural gas and natural gas liquids associated with the Marcellus Shale Formation (Pennsylvania Department of Natural Resources, 2014). Significant secondary natural gas recovery has resulted from physically improving the permeability of the shale host rock through the process of hydraulic fracturing (fracking) in conjunction with horizontal drilling (Figure 64). However, there is controversy concerning the volume, chemical additives and ultimate fate of fluids used in the hydraulic fracturing (fracking) process. Some 6 to 10 million gallons of fresh water combined with surfactants, chemical additives, and propping sand are used to frack a single well, and to keep the induced fractures open to radially inward flow of formation gas. The actual volume of water, sand and chemicals used is largely dependent on the length of the lateral leg of the borehole. Fluids used in the hydrofracturing process return to the surface as flowback fluid, which must be recovered with the enhanced flow of natural gas, then treated appropriately and disposed in a regulatory/permitted manner. Major flowback constituents of regulatory concern are released 1) chlorides and 2) total dissolved solids, both which have been used to fingerprint the fluids, if and when they may be detected in surface water. Some of the drillers have elected to dispose of the recovered fluids in Class 2 deep injection wells in neighboring Ohio. Prior studies by the Army Corps of Engineers (USACE, 2012) have shown that the quality of the Monongahela River water has been a concern in regards to the Federal Clean Water Act (as amended). The Corps has confirmed that the primary water quality problems within the Monongahela River watershed are related to acid mine drainage, traditional gas drilling, industrial/municipal pollution and in some cases Marcellus Shale gas production. State and Federal environmental agencies are working with the gas drilling firms to ensure that fair but important environmental limits are placed on the disposal of flowback recovery fluids.

Low Level Nuclear Waste – Shallow Land Disposal Area

The Parks Township Shallow Land Disposal Area (SLDA) site, located approximately 23 miles east-northeast of Pittsburgh, encompasses 44 acres of private land presently owned by BWX Technologies. Land use within the vicinity of the SLDA site is mixed, consisting of small residential communities, individual rural residences, small farms with croplands and pastures, idle farmland, forested areas, and light industrial properties (USACE, 2002).

The Nuclear Materials and Equipment Corporation (NUMEC), which was a predecessor of BWX Technologies, disposed of low level radioactive waste (LLW) materials, generated from national defense programs, onsite between 1961 and 1970 in accordance with Atomic Energy Commission regulations (predecessor to the present Nuclear Regulatory Commission). BWX Technologies presently is licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to properly maintain the site to ensure the protection of caretaker staff and of the general surrounding public. The SLDA site consists of ten trenches containing contaminated soil and other waste materials. The estimated quantity of contaminated waste material from the trenches is approximately 24,300 cubic yards. This equates to the area of a football field twelve feet deep. The contaminated waste included uranium, thorium, americium and plutonium.

In the early 1900s, the Upper Freeport Coal was deep-mined at a depth of 60 to 100 feet beneath the uphill portion of the site and surface mined later on the downhill portion (USACE, 2002). Nine of the trenches are on the uphill portion of the site in 11 to 16 feet of Pleistocene terrace deposits that overlie 54 to 80 feet of shale and sandstone, above the mined Upper Freeport Coal. The tenth trench is in the strip mine downhill of the other trenches, located within the strip mine spoil, and rests on a clay and shale layer below the Upper Freeport Coal.

In January 2002, Congress directed the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to clean up radioactive waste at the SLDA site. At the time of this writing all of the excavated contaminated material has been packaged and transported from the project site to a secure landfill meeting containment requirements of the Federal RCRA (Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 as amended). The remedial action wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) has been disassembled and removed from the project site. The purpose of the WWTP was to capture, filter and contain suspended waste particulates from remedial action wastewater used during remediation activities (USACE, 2007). A late 2014 contract was planned
for construction of a new long-term waste water treatment plant at the site.

CONCLUSION

Pittsburgh has a rich history, and its Three Rivers have always played a major role in the City’s growth and development. No longer known as just “The Steel City,” Pittsburgh is now vibrant, with a bright future, with new construction and a greatly improved natural environment. Air quality has improved, as has the quality of the region’s three rivers, the Allegheny, Monongahela and the Ohio.

Western Pennsylvania enjoys abundant natural resources. Coal continues to dominate as the primary source of energy to fuel power plants. Natural gas produced from hydrofracturing of shale formations, also is a significant energy resource. Acid mine drainage remains a legacy environmental impact from past coal mining.

Geohazards are present in the Pittsburgh region, including slope instability, mine subsidence, expansive shales and slags, and pyritic acid rock. The local infrastructure is aging and there is a need to repair major highways, including the Pennsylvania Turnpike, the oldest interstate in the nation. Pittsburgh is a city of bridges and many are in need of repair or replacement. The river navigation system of locks and dams is aging and one major replacement project is underway on the Monongahela River, with others being planned for the Ohio River.

Pittsburgh is a city with a bright future as its industrial base changes and the region’s abundant natural resources are utilized. Water is plentiful and is used in many ways to benefit and enrich the citizens of the region.
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